

QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF RATIONAL SURFACES

BRUCE CRAUDER AND RICK MIRANDA

ABSTRACT. In this article formulas for the quantum product of a rational surface are given, and used to give an algebro-geometric proof of the associativity of the quantum product for strict Del Pezzo surfaces, those for which $-K$ is very ample. An argument for the associativity in general is proposed, which also avoids resorting to the symplectic category. The enumerative predictions of Kontsevich and Manin [3] concerning the degree of the rational curve locus in a linear system are recovered. The associativity of the quantum product for the cubic surface is shown to be essentially equivalent to the classical enumerative facts concerning lines: there are 27 of them, each meeting 10 others.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Classes on the triple product	2
2. Three-point classes on the triple product	4
3. Definition of quantum cohomology	7
4. Relevant classes on strict Del Pezzo surfaces	8
5. A formula for the quantum product	10
6. The relationship with ordinary cohomology	12
7. Examples	12
8. A functoriality property	13
9. Associativity of the quantum product for strict Del Pezzo surfaces	15
10. Associativity in general	24
11. Enumerative consequences of associativity	27
References	30

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to give an algebro-geometric description of the quantum cohomology ring for a general rational surface X . By a “general” rational surface we mean one in which all linear systems have the expected dimension, and in which the locus of rational curves in each linear system also has the expected dimension. We understand that it is not known whether the general blowup of the plane in ten or more general points is general in this sense; however we proceed anyway, developing the quantum cohomology ring based on the expected linear systems. In this sense the theory is entirely a numerical one. We view the genericity assumption on the surface X as capable of replacing the genericity assumptions for the symplectic geometry on which the quantum theory is usually based (see for example [7, 8] and a forthcoming paper of Grassi [2] which also addresses aspects of the quantum cohomology of rational surfaces). It seems to us desirable to have a description of the quantum product which is based on algebraic geometry rather than symplectic geometry, and this is what we have tried to offer in the case of rational surfaces.

In Section 1 we explain how classes in the triple product X^3 are used to define classes on X itself, via the Künneth formula; this is formal. In Section 2 we introduce the “triple-point” classes, or Gromov-Witten classes, from which the quantum product is defined. Gromov-Witten classes were first rigorously defined by Ruan [6] using symplectic deformations. We have found it convenient to define these classes (which measure rational curves on X with 3 marked points satisfying certain geometric conditions) in terms of the locus of

Research supported in part by the NSF under grant DMS-9403392.
February 5, 2008. Set in type by AMSLaTeX Version 1.1.

such curves inside linear systems on X , rather than using the space of maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to X . Other definitions (see [3, 6, 8, 9, 10]) use the space of maps instead; for rational surfaces this seems unnecessary, at least for the definitions, and slightly less transparent for our construction. In Section 3 we give the definition of the quantum cohomology ring.

As noted above, the quantum product is defined in terms of classes of loci of rational curves on X ; not all such loci or cohomology classes appear in the definition, and we call those that do “relevant” classes. In Section 4 we enumerate the relevant classes for the general strict Del Pezzo surfaces, that is, for the general blow-up of the plane at 6 or fewer points. (These are the surfaces which are Fano surfaces in the strictest sense, namely that $-K$ is very ample.) In Section 5 we give explicit formulas for the quantum product in terms of the relevant classes on X , and using these formulas in Section 6 we show that there is a natural map from the quantum cohomology ring to the ordinary cohomology ring. In Section 7 we explicitly compute the quantum cohomology rings using the formulas for the minimal rational strict Del Pezzo surfaces, namely $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $\mathbb{F}_1$.

Quantum cohomology seems to be an admixture of homology and cohomology; as such, a functoriality property seems elusive (e.g., should it be contravariant or covariant?). We show in Section 8 that there is at least some degree of functoriality for a blowup. We use this to give a new proof of the associativity of the quantum product for the general strict Del Pezzo surface in Section 9; the functoriality property is strong enough so that it suffices to check associativity on the general 6-fold blowup of the plane. Moreover the results hold without the genericity assumptions on the 6-fold blowup mentioned above, although it is known in this case that the general n -fold blowup of the plane is general in the above sense for $n \leq 9$. We view this section as the primary technical contribution of the paper, namely the verification of the associativity of the quantum product using algebro-geometric techniques rather than methods from symplectic geometry.

Associativity in general is a tricky business; the original heuristic arguments from physics have been made precise only using symplectic geometry ([4, 7]). We have given in Section 10 an alternate approach using algebraic geometry. The sketch which we offer here is related to the argument given in [10]. Finally in Section 11 we draw some of the enumerative consequences of the associativity of the quantum product. These lead in particular to the formulas appearing in [3], interpreted properly. As a small application we show that associativity for the general cubic surface is essentially equivalent to the standard enumerative facts concerning lines: there are 27 of them and each meets 10 others.

The authors would like to thank Igor Dolgachev, who inspired the second author to think about these questions with an excellent lecture on the subject. We also profited greatly from conversations with Antonella Grassi, Sheldon Katz, and David Morrison.

1. CLASSES ON THE TRIPLE PRODUCT

Let X be a general rational surface; by this we mean firstly that if X is obtained by blowing up n points p_i , creating exceptional curves E_i , then for every d and m_i , the linear system $|dH - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i|$ has the expected dimension (where H is the pullback from the plane of the line class); this expected dimension is $\frac{1}{2}[d^2 + 3d - \sum_i m_i(m_i + 1)]$ unless this is negative. Secondly we also assume that the locus of irreducible rational curves in this system has codimension equal to the arithmetic genus of the general curve in the system, which is the expected codimension.

The ordinary integral cohomology $H^*(X) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X) &= \mathbb{Z}[X], \\ H^1(X) &= \{0\}, \\ H^2(X) &\cong \mathbb{Z}^\rho, \\ H^3(X) &= \{0\}, \\ H^4(X) &= \mathbb{Z}[p], \text{ and} \\ H^i(X) &= \{0\} \text{ for } i \geq 5, \end{aligned}$$

where here $[X]$ is the fundamental class of the surface X itself, and $[p]$ is the class of a single point. The Picard number ρ is the rank of the H^2 group.

The triple product $X^3 = X \times X \times X$ is then an algebraic six-fold. Its cohomology is (by the Künneth Theorem) the triple tensor product of the cohomology of X , and is therefore generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by tensors

of the form $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma$, where α , β , and γ are either $[X]$, $[p]$, or generators for $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular all cohomology is even-dimensional.

Suppose that $[A]$ is a cohomology class in the triple product, so that $[A] \in H^{2d}(X^3)$, where d is the complex codimension of the class. Suppose that we choose α and β to be homogeneous elements of $H^*(X)$, of degrees $2a$ and $2b$, such that

$$4 \leq a + b + d \leq 6. \quad (1.1)$$

In this case if we let $c = 6 - a - b - d$, then for any class $\gamma \in H^{2c}(X)$, the class $(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma)$ will have the complementary dimension to the class $[A]$, and therefore the intersection product

$$[A] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

will be defined. We therefore obtain a linear functional

$$\Phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) : H^{2c}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which by duality must be represented by intersection with a cohomology class in $H^{4-2c}(X)$. Call this cohomology class $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta)$; in this case we have by definition that for all $\gamma \in H^{2c}(X)$,

$$[A] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma) = \phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \gamma,$$

and indeed by duality this characterizes the class $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta)$. Note that the intersection on the left side of this formula is intersection in the cohomology of the triple product X^3 , while the intersection on the right side is intersection in the cohomology of X .

The element $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta)$, by definition, is linear in both α and β . For notational sanity we declare $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ unless we have the dimension condition that $4 \leq a + b + d \leq 6$.

Example 1.2. Let $[\Delta]$ be the class of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X \times X$. Since Δ has complex codimension $d = 4$, $[\Delta] \in H^8(X^3)$. Let a , b , and c be non-negative integers such that $a + b \leq 2$ (this is (1.1)) and $c = 2 - a - b$. In this case if α , β , and γ are classes in $H^*(X)$ of degrees a , b , and c respectively, the intersection product $\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma$ is defined in $\mathbb{Z}$; this is just cup product to $H^4(X)$, then taking the degree. In particular it is equal to $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cdot \gamma$. Moreover $[\Delta] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma$ is equal to this triple intersection. Hence we see that $\phi_{[\Delta]}(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \cup \beta$.

Example 1.3. Let $[A]$ be the fundamental class of X^3 ; this has codimension $d = 0$, and lies in $H^0(X^3)$. Indeed, it is equal to $[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [X]$. Suppose that a , b , and $c = 6 - a - b$ are possible complex codimensions in X , satisfying (1.1), which is that $4 \leq a + b \leq 6$; since X is a surface, we must have $a = b = c = 2$. If α , β , and γ are classes in $H^4(X)$, by linearity for the computation we may take all three classes equal to the class $[p]$ of a point. In this case we obviously have $[A] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p] = 1$. Therefore $\phi_{[A]}([p], [p]) = [X]$, and unless both α and β lie in $H^4(X)$, $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$.

Example 1.4. Let C be an divisor on X , and let $[A] = [C] \otimes [C] \otimes [C] \in H^6(X^3)$. Suppose that a , b , and $c = 6 - a - b$ are possible complex codimensions in X , satisfying (1.1), which is that $1 \leq a + b \leq 3$, and α , β , and γ are classes in $H^*(X)$ of degrees a , b , and c respectively. Then $[A] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma = ([C] \cdot \alpha)([C] \cdot \beta)([C] \cdot \gamma)$, which is zero unless $a = b = c = 1$, and α , β , γ are divisor classes. Therefore in this case $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) = ([C] \cdot \alpha)([C] \cdot \beta)[C]$ for divisor classes α and β , and is zero otherwise.

Example 1.5. Let C be an divisor on X , and let $[A] = [C] \otimes [C] \otimes [X] \in H^4(X^3)$. Suppose that α and β are divisor classes in $H^2(X)$. Then $[A] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes [p] = ([C] \cdot \alpha)([C] \cdot \beta)$. Therefore in this case $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, \beta) = ([C] \cdot \alpha)([C] \cdot \beta)[X]$ for divisor classes α and β , and is zero otherwise.

Example 1.6. Let C be an divisor on X , and instead let $[A] = [C] \otimes [X] \otimes [C] \in H^4(X^3)$. Suppose that α and γ are divisor classes in $H^2(X)$. Then $[A] \cdot \alpha \otimes [p] \otimes \gamma = ([C] \cdot \alpha)([C] \cdot \gamma)$. Therefore in this case $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, [p]) = ([C] \cdot \alpha)[C]$ for a divisor class α (and is zero otherwise).

Example 1.7. Let C be an divisor on X , and let $[A] = [C] \otimes [X] \otimes [X] \in H^2(X^3)$. Suppose that α is a divisor classes in $H^2(X)$. Then $[A] \cdot \alpha \otimes [p] \otimes [p] = ([C] \cdot \alpha)$. Therefore in this case $\phi_{[A]}(\alpha, [p]) = ([C] \cdot \alpha)[X]$ for a divisor class α (and is zero otherwise).

Example 1.8. Let C be an divisor on X , and instead let $[A] = [X] \otimes [X] \otimes [C] \in H^2(X^3)$. Then for any divisor class γ in $H^2(X)$, we have $[A] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes \gamma = ([C] \cdot \gamma)$. Therefore in this case $\phi_{[A]}([p], [p]) = [C]$.

2. THREE-POINT CLASSES ON THE TRIPLE PRODUCT

Fix a divisor class $[C] \in H^2(X)$, such that $|C|$ has no fixed components and is non-empty. Define the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]} \subset |C|$ representing irreducible rational curves with only nodes as singularities. Inside the product $\mathcal{R}_{[C]} \times X^3$ consider the incidence correspondence

$$\mathcal{S}_{[C]} = \{(C, p_1, p_2, p_3) \mid \text{the points } p_i \text{ are distinct smooth points on the curve } C\}.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{[C]}$ be the closure of this subvariety inside $|C| \times X^3$. The second projection gives a regular map $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_{[C]} \rightarrow X^3$. We define the *three-point class* $[A_{[C]}]$ to be $\pi_*[\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{[C]}]$, the image of the fundamental class. (This is a priori in homology, but we consider it in cohomology via duality.)

Note that $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 3 + \dim \mathcal{R}_{[C]}$.

A degenerate version of this locus is obtained when we allow the cohomology class $[C] \in H^2(X)$ to be trivial; we declare in this case that the three-point class $[A_{[0]}]$ is the class of the diagonal Δ .

It is an elementary matter to compute the dimensions of these three-point classes, in terms of the numerical characters of the class $[C]$. Since X is a general rational surface, the general member of the linear system $|C|$ is smooth, and the system has the expected dimension, which is $(C \cdot C) + 1 - p_a(C)$; here $p_a(C)$ is the arithmetic genus, and equals $p_a(C) = 1 + (C \cdot C + K_X)/2$ by Riemann-Roch.

Imposing a node on a member of $|C|$ is one condition; hence the locus of nodal rational curves $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ has dimension $\dim |C| - p_a(C) = (C \cdot C) + 1 - 2p_a(C)$ by our general assumption on X . Therefore the dimension of the incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ is $(C \cdot C) + 4 - 2p_a(C)$, which we may re-write as

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 2 - (C \cdot K_X).$$

To be more explicit, suppose that $[C] = dH - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i E_i$, where H is the pullback of the line class from $\mathbb{P}^2$ and E_i is the class of the exceptional curve over the blown up point p_i . Then $K_X = -3H + \sum_i E_i$, so that $(C \cdot K_X) = -3d + \sum_i m_i$. Hence we have

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 3d + 2 - \sum_i m_i.$$

The only classes of curves on X which are not of this form are the classes of the exceptional curves E_i themselves. Here $|E_i|$ is a single point (the only member is E_i itself) and E_i is a smooth rational curve; so $\dim \mathcal{R}_{[E_i]} = 0$ and $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[E_i]} = 3$. (Actually the formula holds in this case also, with $d = 0$, $m_i = -1$, and $m_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$.)

Definition 2.1. A class $[C] \in H^2(X)$ is *relevant* (for quantum cohomology) if either $[C] = 0$ or $\mathcal{R}_{[C]} \neq \emptyset$ and $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} \leq 6$.

If $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} > 6$, then the image of the fundamental class of its closure in the six-dimensional variety X^3 is trivial. Therefore all non-relevant classes induce a trivial three-point class $[A_{[C]}]$.

Given the class $[A_{[C]}]$, they induce as noted in the previous section classes $\phi_{[A_{[C]}]}(\alpha, \beta)$ in the cohomology of X . We will abbreviate the notation for these classes and write simply $\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta)$.

We note that there is an obvious S_3 -symmetry to the three-point classes, in the sense that

$$[A_{[C]}] \cdot \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3 = [A_{[C]}] \cdot \alpha_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \alpha_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \alpha_{\sigma(3)}$$

for any permutation $\sigma \in S_3$. This is simply because the locus $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ is S_3 -invariant. As a consequence of this, we see that the ϕ -classes are symmetric:

$$\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta) = \phi_{[C]}(\beta, \alpha)$$

and of course they are bilinear in α and β .

Example 2.2. If we start with the trivial class $[C] = 0$, then the three-point class $[A_{[0]}]$ is the class of the diagonal. Hence as we have noted above, for any classes α and β in $H^*(X)$,

$$\phi_0(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \cup \beta.$$

Example 2.3. Suppose that E is a (-1) -curve on X , that is, a smooth rational curve with $(E \cdot E) = -1$. The only member of the linear system $|E|$ is the curve E itself; the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[E]}$ of nodal rational curves in $|E|$ is the whole system $|E| = \{E\}$. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[E]} = \{E\} \times E \times E \times E$ with the large diagonal removed; its closure $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{[E]} = \{E\} \times E \times E \times E$. Hence the image under the projection to X^3 is $E \times E \times E$, and the class $[A_{[E]}] = [E] \otimes [E] \otimes [E]$. Hence by the computation in Example 1.4, we have

$$\phi_{[E]}(\alpha, \beta) = ([E] \cdot \alpha)([E] \cdot \beta)[E]$$

for divisor classes α and β , and is zero unless α and β are both in $H^2(M)$.

Example 2.4. More generally suppose that E is an irreducible curve on X whose class is relevant, and $(E \cdot E) - 2p_a(E) = -1$, so that $\dim \mathcal{R}_{[E]} = 0$ and is therefore a finite set; say it has d members $E_1, \dots, E_d$. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[E]} = \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{E_i\} \times E_i \times E_i \times E_i$ with the large diagonal removed; its closure $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{[E]} = \bigcup_{i=1}^d \{E_i\} \times E_i \times E_i \times E_i$. Hence the image under the projection to X^3 is $\bigcup_{i=1}^d E_i \times E_i \times E_i$, and the class $[A_{[E]}] = d[E] \otimes [E] \otimes [E]$. Hence by the computation in Example 1.4, we have

$$\phi_{[E]}(\alpha, \beta) = d([E] \cdot \alpha)([E] \cdot \beta)[E]$$

for divisor classes α and β , and is zero unless α and β are both in $H^2(M)$. This generalizes the previous example, where $d = 1$.

Example 2.5. Suppose that F is a fiber in a ruling on X , that is, a smooth rational curve with $(F \cdot F) = 0$. The linear system $|F|$ is a pencil; the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[F]}$ of irreducible nodal rational curves in $|F|$ is an open dense subset of the whole system $|F|$ (it is the set of smooth members of $|F|$). The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[F]}$ has complex dimension 4; an element is obtained by choosing a member of $|F|$, then three points on this member. The complementary classes in $H^*(X^3)$ have complex codimension 4, that is, they are the classes in $H^8(X^3)$. This group is generated by the classes $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes [X]$, $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes [p]$ for divisor classes α and β , and the associated classes obtained by symmetry. The intersection product $[A_{[F]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [X] = 0$, since there is no curve in the system through two general points p . The intersection product $[A_{[F]}] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes [p] = (F \cdot \alpha)(F \cdot \beta)$; forcing the curve to pass through the general point p gives a unique curve in the system, and the choice of the other two points, which must lie in the divisor α and β respectively, gives the result above. Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[F]}(\alpha, \beta) = ([F] \cdot \alpha)([F] \cdot \beta)[X]$$

for divisor classes α and β . Moreover by the symmetry we also have $[A_{[F]}] \cdot \alpha \otimes [p] \otimes \gamma = (F \cdot \alpha)(F \cdot \gamma)$, so that (after taking symmetry into account)

$$\phi_{[F]}([p], \alpha) = \phi_{[F]}(\alpha, [p]) = ([F] \cdot \alpha)[F]$$

for a divisor class α . All other ϕ -classes are zero.

Example 2.6. Suppose that F gives a relevant class on X with $(F \cdot F) - 2p_a(F) = 0$; then the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[F]}$ of nodal rational curves in $|F|$ forms a curve. Denote by d the degree of this curve in the projective space $|F|$. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[F]}$ has complex dimension 4; an element is obtained by choosing a member of $\mathcal{R}_{[F]}$, then three points on this member. The complementary classes are the classes in $H^8(X^3)$; This group as above is generated by the classes $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes [X]$, $\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes [p]$ for divisor classes α and β , and the associated classes obtained by symmetry. The intersection product $[A_{[F]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [X] = 0$, since there is no curve in the system through two general points p . The intersection product $[A_{[F]}] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes [p] = d(F \cdot \alpha)(F \cdot \beta)$; forcing the curve to pass through the general point p gives d curves in the system, and the choice of the other two points, which must lie in the divisor α and β respectively, contributes $(F \cdot \alpha)$ and $(F \cdot \beta)$ respectively to the number of choices. Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[F]}(\alpha, \beta) = d([F] \cdot \alpha)([F] \cdot \beta)[X]$$

for divisor classes α and β . Moreover by the symmetry we also have $[A_{[F]}] \cdot \alpha \otimes [p] \otimes \gamma = d(F \cdot \alpha)(F \cdot \gamma)$, so that (after taking symmetry into account)

$$\phi_{[F]}([p], \alpha) = \phi_{[F]}(\alpha, [p]) = d([F] \cdot \alpha)[F]$$

for a divisor class α . All other ϕ -classes are zero. This generalizes the previous example, where $d = 1$.

Example 2.7. Suppose that L is a smooth rational curve on X with $(L \cdot L) = 1$. The linear system $|L|$ is a net; the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[L]}$ of nodal (i.e. smooth) rational curves in $|L|$ is an open dense subset of $|L|$. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[L]}$ has complex dimension 5; an element is obtained by choosing a smooth member of $|L|$, then three points on this member. The complementary classes in $H^*(X^3)$ have complex codimension 5, that is, they are the classes in $H^{10}(X^3)$. This group is generated by the classes $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes \alpha$, for a divisor classes α , and the associated classes obtained by symmetry. The intersection product $[A_{[L]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes \alpha = (L \cdot \alpha)$, since through two general points there is a unique member L_0 of $|L|$, whose third point can be any of the points where L_0 meets the divisor α . Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[L]}(\alpha, [p]) = (L \cdot \alpha)[X]$$

for a divisor classes α , and

$$\phi_{[L]}([p], [p]) = [L].$$

All other ϕ -classes are zero.

Example 2.8. Again we may generalize the above in case L induces any relevant class with $(L \cdot L) - 2p_a(L) = 1$. The locus $\mathcal{R}_{[L]}$ of nodal (i.e. smooth) rational curves in $|L|$ is a surface inside the projective space $|L|$; let d be the degree of this surface. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[L]}$ has complex dimension 5. The complementary classes in $H^*(X^3)$ are the classes in $H^{10}(X^3)$, which is generated by the classes $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes \alpha$, for a divisor classes α , and the associated classes obtained by symmetry. The intersection product $[A_{[L]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes \alpha = d(L \cdot \alpha)$, since through two general points there are d members of $\mathcal{R}_{[L]}$, whose third point can be any of the points where the member meets the divisor α . Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[L]}(\alpha, [p]) = d(L \cdot \alpha)[X]$$

for a divisor classes α , and

$$\phi_{[L]}([p], [p]) = d[L].$$

All other ϕ -classes are zero. This generalizes the previous example, where $d = 1$.

Example 2.9. Suppose that C is a smooth rational curve on X with $(C \cdot C) = 2$. The linear system $|C|$ is a web (that is, it is 3-dimensional); the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ of nodal (i.e. smooth) rational curves in $|C|$ is again an open dense subset of $|C|$. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ has complex dimension 6; an element is obtained by choosing a smooth member of $|C|$, then three points on this member. The complementary classes in $H^*(X^3)$ have complex codimension 6, that is, they are the classes in $H^{12}(X^3)$. This group has rank one, and is generated by the class $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$. The intersection product $[A_{[C]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p] = 1$, since through three general points there is a unique member of $|C|$. Indeed, we have that $[A_{[C]}] = [X] \otimes [X] \otimes [X]$. Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = [X].$$

All other ϕ -classes are zero.

Example 2.10. Again if C is a relevant class with $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 2$, then the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ of nodal rational curves in $|C|$ is a threefold of $|C|$; let d be the degree of this threefold. The incidence locus $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ has complex dimension 6; and the complementary classes in $H^*(X^3)$ have complex codimension 6, that is, they are the classes in $H^{12}(X^3)$, which is generated by the class $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$. The intersection product $[A_{[C]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p] = d$, since through three general points there are d members of $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$. Indeed, we have that $[A_{[C]}] = d[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [X]$. Therefore we have

$$\phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = d[X].$$

All other ϕ -classes are zero. This generalizes the previous example, where $d = 1$.

We offer the following example which shows that the above phenomenon occurs, namely that there are relevant classes which come from singular rational curves.

Example 2.11. Let X be the blow-up of the plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ at 5 general points $p_1, \dots, p_5$. Let H denote the line class on X and E_i denote the exceptional curve lying over p_i . Consider the anti-canonical class $C = -K_X = 3H - \sum_{i=1}^5 E_i$. This is the linear system of cubics passing through the 5 points p_i . Note that $(C \cdot C) = 4$

and $p_a(C) = 1$ so that $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 2$. We have $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 2 - (C \cdot K_X) = 6$, so $[C]$ is a relevant class. The map

$$\pi_2 : \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[C]}} \rightarrow X^3$$

has as its general fiber over a triple (q_1, q_2, q_3) those nodal rational curves in the linear system $|C|$ through the three points q_1, q_2 , and q_3 . This is exactly the set of nodal rational cubics in the plane passing through the eight points $p_1, \dots, p_5, q_1, \dots, q_3$. The system of cubics through these general eight points forms a pencil of genus one curves, which has exactly 12 singular members. Hence the map $\pi_2 : \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[C]}} \rightarrow X^3$ is generically finite of degree 12, and so pushing down the fundamental class we see that

$$[A_{[C]}] = 12[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [X].$$

Hence

$$[A_{[C]}] \cdot [p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p] = 12 \text{ and } \phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = 12[X].$$

All other ϕ -classes are zero.

3. DEFINITION OF QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY

We denote by $\text{Eff}(X)$ the cone of effective divisor classes in $H^2(X)$. It forms a semigroup under addition.

Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}[[\text{Eff}(X)]]$ be the completion of the integral group ring over $\text{Eff}(X)$; Q is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. It is customary to formally introduce a multi-variable q and to write the module generators of Q as elements $q^{[D]}$, where $[D]$ is an effective cohomology class in $\text{Eff}(X)$. With this notation, every element of Q can be written as a formal series

$$\sum_{[D] \in \text{Eff}(X)} n_{[D]} q^{[D]}$$

with integral coefficients $n_{[D]}$, and divisor class exponents $[D] \in \text{Eff}(X)$. In this way multiplication in the ring Q is induced by the relations that

$$q^{[D_1]} q^{[D_2]} = q^{[D_1 + D_2]}$$

for divisors D_1 and D_2 on X , and the ordinary distributive and associative laws.

Define the *quantum cohomology ring* of X to be

$$H_Q^*(X) = H^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$$

as a free abelian group. Moreover it is also a Q -module, with the obvious structure.

The multiplication $*_Q$ on $H_Q^*(X)$, called the *quantum product*, is determined by knowing the products of (homogeneous) elements from $H^*(X)$, since the rest comes from linearity and the Q -module structure. For two homogeneous elements α and β in $H^*(X)$ define

$$\alpha *_Q \beta = \sum_{[C]} \phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta) q^{[C]},$$

the sum being taken over the relevant cohomology classes in $H^2(X)$.

We remark that if there are only finitely many relevant classes in $H^2(X)$, then the quantum cohomology ring may be formulated as a polynomial ring instead of a power series ring; in other words, one may take $Q = \mathbb{Z}[\text{Eff}(X)]$ to be simply the integral semigroup ring instead of its completion. This is the case for a Del Pezzo surface X .

We have an immediate identification for the identity of the quantum product:

Lemma 3.1. *The fundamental class $[X]$ is the identity for the quantum product. In other words, for every class $\alpha \in H^*(X)$,*

$$\phi_0([X], \alpha) = \alpha$$

and if $[C] \neq 0$, then

$$\phi_{[C]}([X], \alpha) = 0.$$

Proof. The $[C] = 0$ statement follows from the computation in Example 1.2; we have

$$\phi_0([X], \alpha) = \phi_{[\Delta]}([X], \alpha) = [X] \cup \alpha = \alpha$$

since $[X]$ is the identity for the ordinary cup product. If $[C] \neq 0$, then $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 3 + \dim \mathcal{R}_{[C]} \geq 3$ if $[C]$ is relevant. Therefore the three-point class $[A_{[C]}]$ lies in $H^{2k}(X^3)$ for $k \leq 3$. Any complementary class of the form $[X] \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma$ must have $\deg(\beta) + \deg(\gamma) = 12 - 2k \leq 6$.

Suppose first that $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 3$, so that $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is a finite set and the three-point class $[A_{[C]}]$ lies in $H^6(X^3)$. The only complementary classes involving the fundamental class $[X]$ have the form $[X] \otimes \beta \otimes [p]$ for some divisor class β . But $[A_{[C]}] \cdot [X] \otimes \beta \otimes [p]$ counts the number of curves in $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ whose second point lies in the divisor β and whose third point equals p ; since p is a general point and $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is a finite set, there are no curves in $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ through p and this intersection number is zero. Hence $\phi_{[C]}([X], \alpha) = 0$ for any α .

Suppose next that $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} = 4$, so that the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is one-dimensional and the three-point class $[A_{[C]}]$ lies in $H^4(X^3)$. The only complementary classes involving the fundamental class $[X]$ have the form $[X] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$. The intersection product $[A_{[C]}] \cdot [X] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$ counts the number of curves in $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ whose second and third point are specified general points; since $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is one-dimensional, there are no curves in $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ through two specified general points, and this intersection number is zero. Hence again $\phi_{[C]}([X], \alpha) = 0$ for any α .

Finally if $\dim \mathcal{S}_{[C]} \geq 5$, there are no complementary classes in the cohomology of X^3 of the form $[X] \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma$ at all. $\square$

4. RELEVANT CLASSES ON STRICT DEL PEZZO SURFACES

Let X be a general strict Del Pezzo surface, that is, $X = \mathbb{F}_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ or a general blowup of the plane such that $-K_X$ is very ample. This amounts to having $X \cong \mathbb{F}_0$ or X being a blowup of the plane at $n \leq 6$ general points. It is an elementary matter to compute the relevant classes on such a strict Del Pezzo surface X . The results are shown in the tables below.

In the first few columns are the numerical characters of the class: the bidegree in the case of $\mathbb{F}_0$ and the integers d and m_i for a class of the form $dH - \sum_i m_i E_i$ on a blowup of the plane; here d is the degree and m_i is the multiplicity of the curves at the associated blown up point. The final three columns contain the quantity $C^2 - 2p_a(C)$ (on which relevance is based), the arithmetic genus $p_a(C)$, and the number of such classes up to permutations of the E_i 's.

Relevant nonzero classes on $\mathbb{P}^2$			
degree	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
1	1	0	1

Relevant classes on $\mathbb{F}_0$			
bidegree	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
(0,1)	0	0	1
(1,0)	0	0	1
(1,1)	2	0	1

Denote by X_n a general blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at n points.

Relevant classes on X_1				
degree	m_1	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	-1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1

Relevant nonzero classes on X_2					
degree	m_1	m_2	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	0	-1	0	2
1	1	1	-1	0	1
1	1	0	0	0	2
1	0	0	1	0	1
2	1	1	2	0	1

Relevant classes on X_3						
degree	m_1	m_2	m_3	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	0	0	-1	0	3
1	1	1	0	-1	0	3
1	1	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	0	0	1	0	1
2	1	1	1	1	0	1
2	1	1	0	2	0	3

Relevant nonzero classes on X_4							
degree	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	4
1	1	1	0	0	-1	0	6
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
2	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
2	1	1	0	0	2	0	6
3	2	1	1	1	2	0	4

Relevant classes on X_5								
degree	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	5
1	1	1	0	0	0	-1	0	10
2	1	1	1	1	1	-1	0	1
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	5
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	10
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	5
2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	10
3	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
3	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	20
4	2	2	2	1	1	2	0	10

Relevant classes on X_6									
degree	m_1	m_2	m_3	m_4	m_5	m_6	$C^2 - 2p_a(C)$	$p_a(C)$	#
0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	6
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	15
2	1	1	1	1	1	0	-1	0	6
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	15
3	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	6
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	20
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	30
4	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	20
5	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	1
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	15
3	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1	6
3	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	60
4	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	15
4	2	2	2	1	1	0	2	0	60
4	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	6
5	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	6
5	3	2	2	2	1	1	2	0	60
6	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	0	15

The table of relevant classes on X_6 has some features which will be useful below. Let us collect them in the following lemma. Note that the anti-canonical class $-K$ on the surface has $d = 3$ and $m_i = 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, 6$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X_6 denote a general 6-fold blowup of the plane (that is, a general cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$).*

1. *All relevant classes $[C]$ on X_6 have arithmetic genus $p_a(C) \leq 1$.*
2. *The anti-canonical class $-K$ is the unique relevant class $[C]$ on X_6 with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 1$ and $p_a(C) = 1$.*
3. *There are exactly 27 relevant classes $[E]$ on X_6 with $E^2 - 2p_a(E) = -1$; all have $p_a(E) = 0$. These are the classes of the 27 lines on the cubic surface.*
4. *There are exactly 27 relevant classes $[F]$ on X_6 with $F^2 - 2p_a(F) = 0$; all have $p_a(F) = 0$. Each such class F is obtained from a unique relevant class E with $E^2 - 2p_a(E) = -1$ by subtracting E from the anticanonical class: $F = -K - E$. Any two such classes F, G satisfy $0 \leq (F \cdot G) \leq 2$; $(F \cdot G) = 0$ if and only if $F = G$. If $(F \cdot G) = 1$ then $C = F + G$ is a relevant class with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 0$. If $(F \cdot G) = 2$ then $C = F + G$ is a relevant class with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 1$.*
5. *There are exactly 72 relevant classes $[L]$ on X_6 with $L^2 - 2p_a(L) = 1$ and $p_a(L) = 0$. For each such class $[L]$ and each relevant class E with $E^2 - 2p_a(E) = -1$ we have $0 \leq (L \cdot E) \leq 2$. If $(L \cdot E) = 1$ then $C = L + E$ is a relevant class with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 0$. If $(L \cdot E) = 2$ then $C = L + E$ is a relevant class with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 1$.*
6. *There are exactly 216 relevant classes $[C]$ on X_6 with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 0$. Each such class C can be written uniquely (up to order) as $C = F + G$, where F and G are classes with $F^2 - 2p_a(F) = G^2 - 2p_a(G) = 0$.*
7. *There are exactly 27 relevant classes $[C]$ on X_6 with $C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 1$. Each such class C is obtained from a unique relevant class E with $E^2 - 2p_a(E) = -1$ by adding E to the anticanonical class: $C = -K + E$.*

The proof of the above lemma is left to the reader. All of the statements can be easily shown by careful examination of the table of relevant classes on X_6 ; many of the statements are also elementary consequences of intersection theory on rational surfaces.

5. A FORMULA FOR THE QUANTUM PRODUCT

It is obvious that the computation of the quantum product depends on knowing the intersection numbers

$$[A] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for the relevant three-point loci A , and for generators α , β , and γ of $H^*(X)$.

From the computations made in Examples 2.2-2.10, the degree of the closure of the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is an important number for the quantum product. We will denote this degree by $d_{[C]}$:

$$d_{[C]} = \text{degree of } \overline{\mathcal{R}_{[C]}} \text{ in the projective space } |C|.$$

The following lemma is then immediate from the computations made in Examples 2.2-2.10.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a Del Pezzo surface, and suppose that C gives a relevant class on X (or $C = 0$). We denote by $(C \cdot C)$ the self-intersection of C and by $p_a(C)$ its arithmetic genus. Let $d_{[C]}$ denote the degree of the closure of the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ in the projective space $|C|$. Then:*

- in case $C = 0$, the three-point class $[A_0]$ is the class of the small diagonal and has real codimension 8 in X^3 . The classes $[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [p]$ and $[D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [X]$ (for divisors D_i) generate the complementary space $H^4(X^3)$ (together with the classes obtained from these by permutations). We have:
 $[A_0] \cdot ([X] \otimes [X] \otimes [p]) = 1$ and
 $[A_0] \cdot ([D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [X]) = (D_1 \cdot D_2).$
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = -1$, the image of $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ has real codimension 6 in X^3 . The classes $[X] \otimes [D] \otimes [p]$ and $[D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [D_3]$ (for divisors D, D_i) generate the complementary space $H^6(X^3)$ (together with the classes obtained from these by permutations). We have:
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([X] \otimes [D] \otimes [p]) = 0$ and
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [D_3]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D_1)(C \cdot D_2)(C \cdot D_3).$
In this case $[A_{[C]}] = d_{[C]}[C] \otimes [C] \otimes [C] \in H^6(X^3).$
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 0$, the image of $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ has real codimension 4 in X^3 . The classes $[X] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$ and $[D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [p]$ (for divisors D_i) generate the complementary space $H^8(X^3)$ (together with the classes obtained from these by permutations). We have:
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([X] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]) = 0$ and
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([D_1] \otimes [D_2] \otimes [p]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D_1)(C \cdot D_2).$
In this case $[A_{[C]}] = d_{[C]}[C] \otimes [C] \otimes [X] + d_{[C]}[C] \otimes [X] \otimes [C] + d_{[C]}[X] \otimes [C] \otimes [C] \in H^4(X^3).$
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 1$, the image of $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ has real codimension 2 in X^3 . The classes $[D] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$ (for divisors D) generate the complementary space $H^{10}(X^3)$ (together with the classes obtained from these by permutations). We have:
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([D] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D).$
In this case $[A_{[C]}] = d_{[C]}[C] \otimes [X] \otimes [X] + d_{[C]}[X] \otimes [C] \otimes [X] + d_{[C]}[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [C] \in H^2(X^3).$
- in case $(C \cdot C) = 2$, the image of $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}$ is all of X^3 (and therefore has codimension zero). The class $[p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]$ generates the complementary space $H^{12}(X^3)$. We have:
 $[A_{[C]}] \cdot ([p] \otimes [p] \otimes [p]) = d_{[C]}$
and $[A_{[C]}] = d_{[C]}[X] \otimes [X] \otimes [X] \in H^0(X^3).$

This gives the following descriptions of the classes $\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta)$:

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be a Del Pezzo surface, and suppose that C gives a relevant class on X (or $C = 0$). We denote by $(C \cdot C)$ the self-intersection of C and by $p_a(C)$ the arithmetic genus. Let $d_{[C]}$ denote the degree of the closure of the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ in the projective space $|C|$. Then:*

- in case $C = 0$,
 $\phi_0([X], [X]) = [X],$
 $\phi_0([X], [p]) = [p],$
 $\phi_0([X], [D]) = [D]$ for a divisor D , and
 $\phi_0([D_1], [D_2]) = (D_1 \cdot D_2)[p]$ for divisors D_i .
The classes $\phi_{[0]}([D], [p]) = \phi_{[0]}([p], [p]) = 0.$
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = -1$,
 $\phi_{[C]}([D_1], [D_2]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D_1)(C \cdot D_2)[C].$
If α and β are homogeneous elements of $H^*(X)$, $\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ unless both α and β lie in $H^2(X).$
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 0$,
 $\phi_{[C]}([D_1], [D_2]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D_1)(C \cdot D_2)[X],$ and
 $\phi_{[C]}([D], [p]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D)[C].$
 $\phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = 0$ and $\phi_{[C]}([X], \beta) = 0$ for all $\beta.$

- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 1$,
 $\phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = d_{[C]}[C]$ and
 $\phi_{[C]}([D], [p]) = d_{[C]}(C \cdot D)[X]$.
 $\phi_{[C]}([D_1], [D_2]) = 0$ and $\phi_{[C]}([X], \beta) = 0$ for all β .
- in case $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 2$,
 $\phi_{[C]}([p], [p]) = d_{[C]}[X]$.
 If α and β are homogeneous elements of $H^*(X)$, $\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ unless both α and β lie in $H^4(X)$.

Finally we deduce the formulas for the quantum product.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be a Del Pezzo surface.*

1. *The class $[X]$ is an identity for the quantum product.*
2. *For two divisors D_1 and D_2 ,*

$$\begin{aligned} [D_1] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [D_2] &= (D_1 \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[0]} + \sum_{\substack{E \text{ relevant} \\ E^2 - 2p_a(E) = -1}} d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{F \text{ relevant} \\ F^2 - 2p_a(F) = 0}} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[F]} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over the linear systems (not over the curves actually).

3. *For a divisor D ,*

$$[D] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [p] = \sum_{\substack{F \text{ relevant} \\ F^2 - 2p_a(F) = 0}} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D)[F]q^{[F]} + \sum_{\substack{L \text{ relevant} \\ L^2 - 2p_a(L) = 1}} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D)[X]q^{[L]}$$

4. *where the sum is again taken over the linear systems.*

$$[p] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [p] = \sum_{\substack{L \text{ relevant} \\ L^2 - 2p_a(L) = 1}} d_{[L]}[L]q^{[L]} + \sum_{\substack{C \text{ relevant} \\ C^2 - 2p_a(C) = 2}} d_{[C]}[X]q^{[C]}$$

where the sum is again taken over the linear systems.

6. THE RELATIONSHIP WITH ORDINARY COHOMOLOGY

The effective cone $\text{Eff}(X)$ in $H^2(X)$ is a proper cone, in the sense that it contains no subgroups of $H^2(X)$. Hence there is an “augmentation” ring homomorphism

$$G : H_Q^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$$

defined by sending a quantum cohomology class $\sum_{[D]} \alpha_D q^{[D]}$ to the coefficient α_0 of the $q^{[0]}$ term.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a Del Pezzo surface. Then the map G is a ring homomorphism from the quantum cohomology ring $H_Q^*(X)$ (with the quantum product) to the integral cohomology ring $H^*(X)$ (with the cup product).*

This is clear from the formulas for the quantum product given in Proposition 5.3.

7. EXAMPLES

Example 7.1. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, the complex projective plane.

Then $H^2(X) = \mathbb{Z}[L]$, where $[L]$ is the class of a line. The quantum products determining the multiplication are

$$\begin{aligned} [L] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [L] &= [p]q^{[0]}, \\ [L] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [p] &= [X]q^{[L]}, \text{ and} \\ [p] *_{\mathcal{Q}} [p] &= [L]q^{[L]}. \end{aligned}$$

We may identify $q^{[0]}$ and $[X]$ with 1 and $q^{[L]}$ with q ; if we write ℓ for the class $[L]$, the above relations are that $\ell^2 = [p]$, $\ell^3 = q$, and $\ell^4 = \ell q$. Hence the quantum cohomology ring is isomorphic to

$$H_Q^*(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[\ell, q]/(\ell^3 - q).$$

Example 7.2. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the smooth quadric surface.

Then $H^2(X) = \mathbb{Z}[F_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[F_2]$, where the classes $[F_i]$ are those of the two rulings on X . The quantum products determining the multiplication are

$$\begin{aligned} [F_1] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F_1] &= [X]q^{[F_2]}, \\ [F_1] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F_2] &= [p]q^{[0]}, \\ [F_2] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F_2] &= [X]q^{[F_1]}, \\ [F_1] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [F_2]q^{[F_2]}, \\ [F_2] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [F_1]q^{[F_1]}, \text{ and} \\ [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [X]q^{[F_1+F_2]}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $[F_i]$ by f_i , and $q^{[F_i]}$ by q_i . These relations then become $f_1^2 = q_2$, $f_1 f_2 = [p]$, $f_2^2 = q_1$, $f_1 [p] = f_2 q^2$, $f_2 [p] = f_1 q_1$, and $[p]^2 = q_1 q_2$. Hence the quantum cohomology ring is isomorphic to

$$H_Q^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{Z}[f_1, f_2, q_1, q_2]/(f_1^2 - q_2, f_2^2 - q_1).$$

Example 7.3. Let $X = \mathbb{F}_1$, the blowup of the plane at one point.

Then $H^2(X) = \mathbb{Z}[E] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[F]$, where $[E]$ is the class of the exceptional curve and $[F]$ is the class of the fiber. The only other class with a smooth rational curve of self-intersection at most 2 is the class $[L] = [E] + [F]$; it has self-intersection 1. The quantum products determining the multiplication are

$$\begin{aligned} [E] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [E] &= -[p]q^{[0]} + [E]q^{[E]} + [X]q^{[F]}, \\ [E] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F] &= [p]q^{[0]} - [E]q^{[E]}, \\ [F] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F] &= [E]q^{[E]}, \\ [E] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [F]q^{[F]}, \\ [F] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [X]q^{[E]+[F]}, \text{ and} \\ [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] &= [L]q^{[E]+[F]}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $[E]$ by e , $q^{[E]}$ by q , $[F]$ by f , $q^{[F]}$ by r , and $[p]$ by p . These relations then become $e^2 = -p + eq + r$, $ef = p - eq$, $f^2 = eq$, $ep = fr$, $fp = qr$, and $p^2 = (e + f)qr$. We may eliminate p from the generators since $p = ef + eq$; after doing so, the first and third relations become $e^2 = r - ef$ and $f^2 = eq$, and the other relations formally follow from these two. Hence the quantum cohomology ring is isomorphic to

$$H_Q^*(\mathbb{F}_1) = \mathbb{Z}[e, f, q, r]/(e^2 + ef - r, f^2 - eq).$$

8. A FUNCTORIALITY PROPERTY

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a general blowup of a Del Pezzo surface Y at a single point p , with an exceptional curve E . Then we have $\pi \times \pi \times \pi : X^3 \rightarrow Y^3$. Suppose that C is an irreducible curve in Y , such that its cohomology class $[C]$ is relevant for the quantum cohomology of Y . We may assume that p is not on C . Then $[\pi^{-1}(C)] = \pi^*[C]$ as a class on X , and has the same self-intersection and arithmetic genus as does $[C]$; therefore it is a relevant class for the quantum cohomology for X .

In other words, the three-point classes $[A_{[C]}]$ on Y^3 and $[A_{\pi^*[C]}]$ on X^3 are both defined.

Lemma 8.1. *With the above notation and conditions, if $[C] \neq 0$, then*

$$[A_{\pi^*[C]}] = (\pi \times \pi \times \pi)^*([A_{[C]}]).$$

Proof. What is clear is that the nodal rational curve loci $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\pi^*[C]}$ are birational, so that $\pi \times \pi \times \pi$ induces a birational map

$$\mathcal{S}_{\pi^*[C]} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{[C]}.$$

since we are blowing up a point which is not on the general member of $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$. This implies immediately that

$$\pi \times \pi \times \pi([A_{\pi^*[C]}]) = [A_{[C]}]$$

as classes on Y^3 . We want to investigate the pull-back, not the image; however this at least says that $[A_{\pi^*[C]}]$ and $(\pi \times \pi \times \pi)^*([A_{[C]}])$ will differ only on the exceptional part of the map $\pi \times \pi \times \pi$, i.e., only over the fundamental locus $\mathcal{E} = (\{p\} \times Y \times Y) \cup (Y \times \{p\} \times Y) \cup (Y \times Y \times \{p\})$.

We can take the different cases up one by one. If $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = -1$, then the rational curve locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is a finite set, and by assumption the point p is not on any member; hence the loci in question are disjoint from the fundamental loci for $\pi \times \pi \times \pi$, and there is nothing to prove.

Similarly if $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 2$, then $[A_{[C]}] = d_{[C]}[Y^3]$ and $[A_{\pi^*[C]}] = d_{\pi^*[C]}[X^3]$; since $d_{[C]} = d_{\pi^*[C]}$, the result follows in this case.

Suppose that $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 0$, so that $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is a curve. Let $C_1, \dots, C_d$ be the members of $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ through p (here $d = d_{[C]}$). Then $[A_{[C]}]$ intersects the fundamental locus $\mathcal{E}$ exactly in the union of the loci $(\{p\} \times C_j \times C_j) \cup (C_j \times \{p\} \times C_j) \cup (C_j \times C_j \times \{p\})$; over this locus in X^3 is the union of the loci $(E \times (E + \overline{C_j}) \times (E + \overline{C_j})) \cup ((E + \overline{C_j}) \times \{p\} \times (E + \overline{C_j})) \cup ((E + \overline{C_j}) \times (E + \overline{C_j}) \times \{p\})$ (where $(E + \overline{C_j})$ means the union of the exceptional curve E with the proper transform of C_j). Note that this is a union of three-folds in X^3 ; since in this case $[A_{\pi^*[C]}]$ is the class of a four-fold, we have no extra contribution to the pull-back class.

The final case of $(C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C) = 1$ is similar; here the locus $[A_{[C]}]$ intersects the fundamental locus $\mathcal{E}$ in a three-fold, over which lies a four-fold in X^3 ; since $[A_{\pi^*[C]}]$ is the class of a five-fold, again we have no extra contribution to the pull-back class. $\square$

This implies the following.

Corollary 8.2. *With the above notations, if $[C] \neq 0$, then for any homogeneous classes α and β in $H^*(Y)$, we have*

$$\pi^*(\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta)) = \phi_{[\pi^*[C]}](\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta).$$

Proof. Choose a class γ of the correct dimension on Y , and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{[\pi^*[C]]}(\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta) \cdot \pi^*\gamma &= [A_{\pi^*[C]}] \cdot \pi^*\alpha \otimes \pi^*\beta \otimes \pi^*\gamma \\ &= (\pi \times \pi \times \pi)^*[A_{[C]}] \cdot (\pi \times \pi \times \pi)^*(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma) \\ &= [A_{[C]}] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma) \\ &= \phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a class in $H^2(Y)$, and E is the exceptional curve for the map π , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{[\pi^*[C]]}(\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta) \cdot E &= [A_{\pi^*[C]}] \cdot \pi^*\alpha \otimes \pi^*\beta \otimes E \\ &= (\pi \times \pi \times \pi)^*[A_{[C]}] \cdot \pi^*\alpha \otimes \pi^*\beta \otimes E \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence as far as intersections go, the class $\phi_{[\pi^*[C]]}(\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta)$ is behaving exactly like the class $\pi^*(\phi_{[C]}(\alpha, \beta))$. However this class is defined in terms of its intersection behaviour, and so the equality as claimed holds. $\square$

Note that we in any case have the formula

$$\pi^*(\phi_0(\alpha, \beta)) = \phi_0(\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta)$$

since $\phi_0(\pi^*\alpha, \pi^*\beta) = \pi^*\alpha \cup \pi^*\beta$ (this is cup product on X) which is in turn equal to $\pi^*(\alpha \cup \beta)$ since π^* is a ring homomorphism on ordinary cohomology. Since $\pi^*(0) = 0$, we view this as the “ $[C] = 0$ ” case of Corollary 8.2.

Putting this together we derive the following version of functoriality for the quantum ring:

Corollary 8.3. *Let α and β be ordinary cohomology classes in $H^*(Y)$. Then for any relevant class $[C]$ in $H^2(Y)$, the $q^{\pi^*[C]}$ -term of $\pi^*\alpha *_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*\beta$ is equal to π^* of the $q^{[C]}$ -term of $\alpha *_{\mathbb{Q}} \beta$.*

Another way of saying this is to define the quantum pullback

$$\pi_Q^* : H_Q^*(Y) \rightarrow H_Q^*(X)$$

by setting

$$\pi_Q^* \left(\sum_{[D]} c_{[D]} q^{[D]} \right) = \sum_{[D]} \pi^*(c_{[D]}) q^{\pi^*[D]}.$$

This is NOT in general a ring homomorphism. But the above corollary says that for classes α and β in $H^*(Y)$, the two quantum cohomology classes

$$\pi^*(\alpha) *_{\mathbb{Q}} \pi^*(\beta) \text{ and } \pi_Q^*(\alpha *_{\mathbb{Q}} \beta)$$

differ only in the $q^{[D]}$ terms for those classes $[D]$ on X which are NOT pullbacks from Y . That is, they agree on all the $q^{[\pi^*C]}$ terms, for any effective classes C on Y .

9. ASSOCIATIVITY OF THE QUANTUM PRODUCT FOR STRICT DEL PEZZO SURFACES

In this section we will use the formulas of Proposition 5.3 to check the associative law for the quantum product for general strict Del Pezzo surfaces. These are the surfaces $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and X_n (the n -fold general blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$) for $n \leq 6$. The first reduction is to note that it suffices to prove the associative law for the general surface X_6 , the six-fold blowup of the plane. (This is the general cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$.) This is due to the functoriality property stated in Corollary 8.3; if associativity holds on a blowup X of a surface Y , then in fact it must hold on Y .

By the tables of relevant classes on these surfaces given in Section 4, we note that all relevant classes have arithmetic genus at most one. (This is no longer true if one blows up 7 general points in the plane; the class of quartics double at one point and passing through 6 others is relevant on X_7 , and has arithmetic genus 2.) Hence for the strict Del Pezzo surface case, the following lemma suffices to give us all the relevant $d_{[C]}$ numbers.

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose that X is a general rational surface, and $[C]$ is a relevant class on X . Then*

$$d_{[C]} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_a(C) = 0, \text{ and} \\ 12 & \text{if } p_a(C) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $p_a(C) = 0$, then the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ of irreducible rational curves in the linear system $|C|$ is an open subset of $|C|$ (it is the subset parametrizing the smooth curves of $|C|$). Hence its closure is the entire linear system $|C|$, and therefore has degree one.

If $p_a(C) = 1$, then the locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ of irreducible rational curves in the linear system $|C|$ is an open subset of the discriminant locus of $|C|$. Its degree is the number of irreducible nodal rational curves in a general pencil of curves in $|C|$. Such a general pencil, after blowing up the base points, will give a fibration of elliptic curves on a rational surface; the degree of $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ is the number of singular fibers of this fibration. This is 12, by standard Euler number considerations. $\square$

Let us begin with checking associativity for a triple product of the form $[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D]$ for a divisor D . For notational convenience let us define

$$s(C) = (C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C)$$

for an irreducible curve C in a relevant class $[C]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
([p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p]) *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D] &= \left(\sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} [L] q^{[L]} + \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]} [X] q^{[C]} \right) *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D] \\
&= \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} ([L] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D]) q^{[L]} + \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]} [D] q^{[C]} \\
&= \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]} [D] q^{[C]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} (L \cdot D) [p] q^{[L]} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(L)=1} \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[L]} d_{[E]} (E \cdot L) (E \cdot D) [E] q^{[E+L]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(L)=1} \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[L]} d_{[F]} (F \cdot L) (F \cdot D) [X] q^{[F+L]}
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} ([p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D]) &= [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]} (F \cdot D) [F] q^{[F]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} (L \cdot D) [X] q^{[L]} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]} (F \cdot D) ([p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [F]) q^{[F]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} (L \cdot D) ([p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [X]) q^{[L]} \\
&= \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]} (L \cdot D) [p] q^{[L]} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[F]} d_{[G]} (F \cdot D) (G \cdot F) [G] q^{[F+G]} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[F]} d_{[L]} (F \cdot D) (L \cdot F) [X] q^{[F+L]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing terms in the above two expressions we see that this particular triple product is associative if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]} [D] q^{[C]} &+ \sum_{s(L)=1} \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[L]} d_{[E]} (E \cdot L) (E \cdot D) [E] q^{[E+L]} \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[F]} d_{[G]} (F \cdot D) (G \cdot F) [G] q^{[F+G]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.2}$$

Of course only relevant classes are included in the above sums.

By Lemma 4.1, if L and E are relevant classes with $s(L) = 1$ and $s(E) = -1$, then $0 \leq (L \cdot E) \leq 2$; if $(L \cdot E) = 0$ then there is no contribution in (9.2). If $(L \cdot E) \neq 0$ then $L + E$ is a relevant class with $s(L + E) = 2$; if $L \neq -K$ then $p_a(L + E) = (L \cdot E) - 1$, and if $L = -K$ then $(L \cdot E) = 1$ and $p_a(L + E) = 1$.

Similarly if F and G are relevant classes with $s(F) = s(G) = 0$, then $0 \leq (F \cdot G) \leq 2$; if $(F \cdot G) = 0$ then there is no contribution in (9.2). If $(F \cdot G) \neq 0$ then $F + G$ is a relevant class with $s(F + G) = 2$ and $p_a(F + G) = (F \cdot G) - 1$.

Therefore the only terms which can appear in the associativity formula (9.2) are those $q^{[C]}$ terms for relevant classes $[C]$ with $s(C) = 2$ (and $p_a(C) \leq 1$). In fact we have the following.

Lemma 9.3. *The associativity of the triple product $p *_{\mathbb{Q}} p *_{\mathbb{Q}} D$ on X_6 is equivalent to the following two formulas:*

(a) *For every relevant class C with $s(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 0$, and every divisor D ,*

$$[D] + \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (C \cdot E)=0}} (D \cdot E) [E] = \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \\ s(F)=s(G)=0 \\ F+G=C}} (D \cdot F) [G].$$

(b) For every relevant class C with $s(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 1$,

$$6[D] + 6(K + C \cdot D)[K + C] + \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (C \cdot E)=1}} (D \cdot E)[E] = \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \\ s(F)=s(G)=0 \\ F+G=C}} (D \cdot F)[G].$$

Proof. As noted above, we may decompose (9.2) into the $q^{[C]}$ terms fixing a relevant class C with $s(C) = 2$. The two cases of the lemma correspond to the two possibilities for $p_a(C)$.

If $p_a(C) = 0$, then the only pairs (L, E) with $s(L) = 1$ and $s(E) = -1$ having $L + E = C$ must have $L \neq -K$ (and therefore $d_{[L]} = 1$). Moreover $(L \cdot E) = 1$ (else $p_a(C) = 1$ by Lemma 4.1). Hence $(C \cdot E) = (L + E \cdot E) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Conversely for any class E with $(C \cdot E) = 0$, the class $L = C - E$ has $s(L) = 1$ and occurs in the sum. Therefore this (L, E) sum with $L + E = C$ is a sum over those E 's with $(C \cdot E) = 0$. In this case $d_{[E]} = (L \cdot E) = 1$ also, so these contributions can be ignored.

Similarly, if $p_a(C) = 0$, then if $C = F + G$ with $s(F) = s(G) = 0$, then $d_{[F]} = d_{[G]} = (F \cdot G) = 1$. This then produces the equation of part (a).

Suppose then that $p_a(C) = 1$. Then $d_{[C]} = 12$, and in the (L, E) sum, $L = -K$ is a possibility. The E that pairs with $L = -K$ is of course $E = K + C$, and has $(E \cdot L) = (E \cdot -K) = 1$. This gives a term $12(K + C \cdot D)[K + C]$ to the (L, E) sum. If $L \neq -K$ and $L + E = C$, then by Lemma 4.1 we have $(L \cdot E) = 2$, or, equivalently, $(C \cdot E) = 1$. Conversely, any class E with $s(E) = -1$ and $(C \cdot E) = 1$ occurs, and is paired with the class $L = C - E$. Therefore again this sum can be written as a sum over such E 's, each E giving the term $2(E \cdot D)[E]$ (since $d_{[L]} = d_{[E]} = 1$ and $(L \cdot E) = 2$).

Finally, in the (F, G) sum, for two such classes to sum to a C with $p_a(C) = 1$, we must have $(F \cdot G) = 2$ by Lemma 4.1; since $d_{[F]} = d_{[G]} = 1$, each such pair (F, G) contributes a term of the form $2(F \cdot D)[G]$.

Dividing all terms by two produces the equation of part (b). $\square$

It remains to prove these two formulas. We begin with (a).

Lemma 9.4. *Let C be a relevant class on X_6 with $s(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 0$. Then for any divisor D on X_6 ,*

$$[D] + \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (C \cdot E)=0}} (D \cdot E)[E] = \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \\ s(F)=s(G)=0 \\ F+G=C}} (D \cdot F)[G].$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the class C can be written uniquely (up to order) as $C = F + G$, with $s(G) = s(F) = 0$; if we do so, we see that the right-hand side of the above equation consists of only the two terms $(D \cdot F)[G] + (D \cdot G)[F]$. Therefore we must actually show that

$$[D] = (D \cdot F)[G] + (D \cdot G)[F] - \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (C \cdot E)=0}} (D \cdot E)[E].$$

The two pencils $|F|$ and $|G|$ on X_6 give a birational map $\pi : X_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0$, realizing X_6 as a general five-fold blowup of $\mathbb{F}_0$. The only curves E on X_6 with $s(E) = -1$ which do not meet $C = F + G$ are the five exceptional curves for this blowup; call these five curves $E_1, \dots, E_5$. Note that the seven classes $[F], [G], [E_1], \dots, [E_5]$ generate the Picard group over $\mathbb{Z}$; the intersection matrix is unimodular. Now the above formula is exactly the writing of the class $[D]$ in terms of these generators. $\square$

Finally we address the equation (b).

Lemma 9.5. *Let C be a relevant class on X_6 with $s(C) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 1$. Then for any divisor D on X_6 ,*

$$6[D] + 6(K + C \cdot D)[K + C] + \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (C \cdot E)=1}} (D \cdot E)[E] = \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \\ s(F)=s(G)=0 \\ F+G=C}} (D \cdot F)[G].$$

Proof. Let $\hat{E}$ denote the class $K + C$; we have $s(\hat{E}) = -1$ as noted above. Any class E with $s(E) = -1$ and $(C \cdot E) = 1$ must therefore have $(E \cdot \hat{E}) = 0$ and conversely; therefore the E sum above is a sum over those E 's with $(E \cdot \hat{E}) = 0$.

On the other side, suppose that $F + G = C$ with $s(F) = s(G) = 0$. By Lemma 4.1, we must have $(F \cdot G) = 2$, and so $(F \cdot C) = (G \cdot C) = 2$. Then $(F \cdot \hat{E}) = (F \cdot K + C) = (F \cdot K) + 2 = 0$ since $(F \cdot K) = -2$ by the genus formula. Similarly $(G \cdot \hat{E}) = 0$. Therefore in the two pencils $|F|$ and $|G|$, the curve $\hat{E}$ occurs in a singular fiber of each. Hence there are unique curves E_F and E_G with $s(E_F) = s(E_G) = -1$ such that $F = \hat{E} + E_F$ and $G = \hat{E} + E_G$. Moreover $(E_F \cdot E_G) = 1$ since $(F \cdot G) = 2$. Note that the three curves $\hat{E}$, E_F , and E_G form a triangle on X_6 (considered as a cubic surface).

Conversely, given a triangle of curves $\hat{E}$, E_F , and E_G with $s(E_F) = s(E_G) = -1$, we obtain a unique pair (F, G) with $s(F) = s(G) = 0$ and $F + G = C$ by setting $G = \hat{E} + E_G$ and $F = \hat{E} + E_F$. Therefore the (F, G) sum above can be made into a sum over such triangles; for a fixed $\hat{E}$ there are five such [1, 5]. Therefore the equation in question may be written as

$$6[D] + 6(\hat{E} \cdot D)[\hat{E}] + \sum_{\substack{E \\ s(E)=-1 \\ (\hat{E} \cdot E)=0}} (D \cdot E)[E] = \sum_{\substack{\text{five triangles} \\ \hat{E} + E_F + E_G}} (D \cdot \hat{E} + E_F)[\hat{E} + E_G] + (D \cdot \hat{E} + E_G)[\hat{E} + E_F].$$

We first claim that the above equation holds when $D = \hat{E}$. In this case the first two terms on the left side cancel, while each term in the two sums are clearly zero.

Next we claim that the equation holds when $D = \hat{E} + E'$, for any curve E' with $s(E') = -1$ and $(\hat{E} \cdot E') = 1$. In this case $(\hat{E} \cdot D) = 0$ so the second term of the equation drops out. Of those E' 's which satisfy $(\hat{E} \cdot E) = 0$, there are exactly 8 which meet E' and contribute to the sum on the left-hand side; these are exactly the other curves in the four triangles containing E' which do not involve $\hat{E}$. These come in pairs, and if E_1 and E_2 form a pair, then they contribute $(D \cdot E_1)[E_1] + (D \cdot E_2)[E_2] = [E_1 + E_2]$. However each triangle is equivalent to $-K$, so this pair's contribution may be written as $[-K - E']$; hence this sum reduces to $-4[K + E']$. Hence the entire left-hand side is equal to $6[\hat{E} + E'] - 4[K + E'] = 6[\hat{E}] - 4[K] + 2[E']$.

On the right-hand side, if we have a triangle $E + E_F + E_G$, $(D \cdot \hat{E} + E_F) = (E' \cdot \hat{E} + E_F) = 1 + (E' \cdot E_F)$ and similarly for the E_G term. Now E' is part of a triangle containing $\hat{E}$, say $\hat{E} + E' + E''$; the other four triangles are disjoint from E' (except for the curve $\hat{E}$). For one of these four triangles, we obtain a contribution of $[\hat{E} + E_G] + [\hat{E} + E_F] = [\hat{E} - K]$. For the triangle with E' and E'' , we have a contribution of $2[\hat{E} + E']$. Thus the right-hand sum reduces to $6[\hat{E}] - 4[K] + 2[E']$.

As noted above, this is equal to the left-hand side; therefore the equation holds for this D .

The proof now finishes by remarking that the Picard group of X_6 is generated rationally by $\hat{E}$ and the classes $\hat{E} + E'$ considered above. Since the equation is linear in D , and is true for these generators, it is true for all divisors D . $\square$

Let us now address the associativity for a triple product of the form $[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D_1] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D_2]$ for divisors D_i . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} ([D_1] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D_2]) = \\ &= [p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} ((D_1 \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[0]} + \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E]} + \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[F]}) \\ &= (D_1 \cdot D_2)[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [p]q^{[0]} + \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [E]q^{[E]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [X]q^{[F]} \\ &= (D_1 \cdot D_2)(\sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}[L]q^{[L]} + \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]}[X]q^{[C]}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(\sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot E)[F]q^{[F]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot E)[X]q^{[L]}q^{[E]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[F]}) \\ &= \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L]q^{[L]} + \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[C]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{F^2=0} d_{[E]}d_{[F]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot E)[F]q^{[E]+[F]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{L^2=1} d_{[E]}d_{[L]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(L \cdot E)[X]q^{[E]+[L]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[F]} \end{aligned}$$

while

$$([p] *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D_1]) *_{\mathbb{Q}} [D_2] =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)[F]q^{[F]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[X]q^{[L]}) *_Q [D_2] \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)([F] *_Q [D_2])q^{[F]} + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]} \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)((F \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[0]} + \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[E]}(E \cdot F)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[G]}(G \cdot F)(G \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[F]}q^{[F]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]} \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[p]q^{[F]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[F]}d_{[E]}(F \cdot D_1)(E \cdot F)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E+F]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[F]}d_{[G]}(F \cdot D_1)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[F+G]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore associativity of this triple product is equivalent to the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L]q^{[L]} + \sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[C]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot E)[F]q^{[E+F]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(L \cdot E)[X]q^{[E+L]} \\
&= \\
&\quad \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[F]}d_{[E]}(F \cdot D_1)(E \cdot F)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E+F]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[F]}d_{[G]}(F \cdot D_1)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot D_2)[X]q^{[F+G]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing those terms with coefficients in $H^0(X)$ and those in $H^2(X)$, we see that $p *_Q (D_1 *_Q D_2) = (p *_Q D_1) *_Q D_2$ if and only if the following two equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L]q^{[L]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{s(F)=0} d_{[F]}d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot E)[F]q^{[E+F]} \\
&= \\
&\quad \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(E)=-1} d_{[F]}d_{[E]}(F \cdot D_1)(E \cdot F)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E+F]}
\end{aligned} \tag{9.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s(C)=2} d_{[C]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)q^{[C]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}d_{[E]}(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(L \cdot E)q^{[E+L]} \\
&= \\
&\quad \sum_{s(F)=0} \sum_{s(G)=0} d_{[F]}d_{[G]}(F \cdot D_1)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot D_2)q^{[F+G]}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

Lemma 9.8. Equation (9.7) follows from (9.2).

Proof. In fact, it is obtained from (9.2) by setting $D = D_1$ and dotting with D_2 . $\square$

The proof of associativity in the $p *_Q D_1 *_Q D_2$ case now follows from the lemma below.

Lemma 9.9. Equation (9.6) holds for X_6 .

Proof. We will show that (9.6) follows from two types of relations, one of which holds generally for generic rational surfaces and the other of which is special to the cubic surface.

Note that every relevant class F with $s(F) = 0$ can be written uniquely as $F = -K - E_F$, where E_F is a relevant class with $s(E_F) = -1$ (see Lemma 4.1). Therefore for any relevant class E with $s(E) = -1$, we

must have $0 \leq (F \cdot E) \leq 2$; moreover $(F \cdot E) = 2$ if and only if $E = E_F$, and $(F \cdot E) = 1$ if and only if $(E \cdot E_F) = 1$. In this latter case $F + E$ is a relevant class with $s(F + E) = 1$ and $p_a(F + E) = 0$.

Therefore (9.6) can be analyzed by considering only these types of q -terms. We begin by considering a term of the form $q^{[L]}$, where L is a relevant class with $s(L) = 1$ and $p_a(L) = 0$.

Note that in this case if $L = E + F$, then $(E \cdot F) = 1$, $(E \cdot L) = 0$ and $(F \cdot L) = 1$. Moreover all classes contributing to this term have $d = 1$. Thus considering the coefficient of $q^{[L]}$ in (9.6) gives the equation

$$(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L] + \sum_{\substack{s(E)=-1 \\ s(F)=0 \\ E+F=L}} (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[F] = (L \cdot D_1)[D_2] + \sum_{\substack{s(E)=-1 \\ s(F)=0 \\ E+F=L}} (E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_1)[E]$$

Conversely we note that if $(L \cdot E) = 0$ for E an exceptional curve, then by Riemann-Roch, $L \equiv E + F$ for some F . Also if $E + F \equiv E' + F'$, then $E \equiv E'$ and $F \equiv F'$ or E and E' are disjoint; this follows from the fact that $0 = (E' \cdot L) = (E' \cdot E) + (E' \cdot F) \geq (E' \cdot E)$ since F moves in a pencil. For each such class L , it is easy to see that there are exactly 6 classes E with $s(E) = -1$ and $(E \cdot L) = 0$. Therefore there is a disjoint basis of $\text{Pic}(X)$, $[L], [E_1], \dots, [E_6]$ where $E_1, \dots, E_6$ are exceptional curves, and since $(L \cdot E_i) = 0$, L can be written as $F_i + E_i$ for each i . In this basis, the equation above is equivalent to:

$$(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L] - (L \cdot D_1)[D_2] = \sum_i ((E_i \cdot D_2)(F_i \cdot D_1)[E_i] - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)[F_i])$$

and the right-hand side of this equation is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_i ((E_i \cdot D_2)(F_i \cdot D_1)[E_i] - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)[F_i]) \\ &= \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)((L \cdot D_1) - (E_i \cdot D_1))[E_i] - (E_i \cdot D_1)[L - E_i] \\ &= \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)(-(E_i \cdot D_1)[L] + ((L \cdot D_1) - (E_i \cdot D_1) + (E_i \cdot D_1))[E_i]) \\ &= (L \cdot D_1) \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)[E_i] - \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_1)[L] \end{aligned}$$

and so we must show that

$$(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L] - (L \cdot D_1)[D_2] = (L \cdot D_1) \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)[E_i] - \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_1)[L].$$

On the other hand $[D_2] = (L \cdot D_2)[L] - \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_2)[E_i]$. Plugging this expression into the above equation, we obtain an expression all in terms of the basis $[L], [E_1], \dots, [E_6]$. The coefficients of $[E_i]$ on the two sides are obviously equal, to $(L \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)$. Hence we must only check the coefficient of $[L]$, and so the above equation follows from the identity

$$(D_1 \cdot D_2) = (L \cdot D_1)(L \cdot D_2) - \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)$$

which is immediate from writing $[D_1]$ and $[D_2]$ in terms of the basis $[L], [E_1], \dots, [E_6]$.

To complete the proof of the lemma, we need to consider the coefficient of $q^{[-K]}$ in (9.6); $L = -K$ is the unique relevant class with $s = 1$ and $p_a = 1$. In the E, F sums, we may sum over the E 's only, setting $F = -K - E$; noting that in this case $(E \cdot F) = 2$, equating the coefficients of $q^{[-K]}$ in (9.6) and dividing by two gives

$$\begin{aligned} 6(K \cdot D_1)[D_2] - 6(D_1 \cdot D_2)[K] &= \sum_{s(E)=-1} ((-K - E) \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[E] - (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_1)[-K - E] \\ &= \sum_{s(E)=-1} (-K \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[E] - (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_1)[-K]. \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

As we saw in the proof above for the associativity of the triple product $p *_Q p *_Q D$, $-K$ is linearly equivalent to any triangle of exceptional curves; moreover precisely ten exceptional curves meet any given

exceptional curve. Thus for all exceptional curves E' ,

$$\begin{aligned} 5[-K] &= 5[E'] + \sum_{(E \cdot E')=1} [E] \\ &= 6[E'] + \sum_E (E \cdot E')[E]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all exceptional curves E' and E'' ,

$$6[E'] + \sum_E (E \cdot E')[E] = 6[E''] + \sum_E (E \cdot E'')[E]$$

and so

$$6[E'] = 6[E''] + \sum_E (E \cdot E'')[E] - \sum_E (E \cdot E')[E].$$

Intersecting with D_2 and noting that $(-K \cdot E') = 1$ for all E' , we have

$$6(E' \cdot D_2) = (6(E'' \cdot D_2) + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot E''))(-K \cdot E') - \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot E').$$

Here this equation holds for all exceptional curves E' , which generate $\text{Pic}(X_6)$, so

$$6[D_2] = (6(E'' \cdot D_2) + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot E''))[-K] - \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)[E].$$

Intersecting now with D_1 and again noting that $(-K \cdot E'') = 1$, we have

$$(6(D_1 \cdot D_2) + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_1))(-K \cdot E'') = (-K \cdot D_1)(6(E'' \cdot D_2) + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot E'')).$$

As this is true for all E'' , which generate $\text{Pic}(X_6)$, we see that

$$(6(D_1 \cdot D_2) + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_1))[-K] = (-K \cdot D_1)(6[D_2] + \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)[E]).$$

This can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} 6(D_1 \cdot D_2)[-K] - 6(-K \cdot D_1)[D_2] &= \sum_E (-K \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[E] - (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[-K] \\ &= \sum_E (E \cdot D_2)((-K \cdot D_1)[E] - (E \cdot D_1)[-K]). \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the desired equation (9.10). □

To conclude our proof of associativity for the quantum product on X_6 , we must deal with triple quantum products of the form $D_1 *_Q D_2 *_Q D_3$ for divisors D_i . Note that $d_{[C]} = 1$ whenever $[C]$ is a relevant class on

X_6 with $s(c) \leq 0$; we then compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 *_Q (D_2 *_Q D_3) &= D_1 *_Q ((D_2 \cdot D_3)[p]q^0 + \sum_{s(E)=-1} (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)[E]q^E + \sum_{s(F)=0} (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[X]q^F) \\
&= (D_2 \cdot D_3)(D_1 *_Q [p])q^0 + \sum_{s(E)=-1} (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)(D_1 *_Q [E])q^E \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1]q^F \\
&= \sum_{s(F)=0} (D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F]q^F + \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_2 \cdot D_3)(L \cdot D_1)[X]q^L \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1]q^F \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=-1} (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)((E \cdot D_1)[p]q^E + \sum_{s(E')=-1} (E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot E')[E']q^{E+E'}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(F)=0} (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot E)[X]q^{E+F}) \\
&= (\text{dimension zero terms:}) \sum_{s(E)=-1} (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)[p]q^E \\
&\quad + (\text{dimension two terms:}) \sum_{s(F)=0} ((D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F] + (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1])q^F \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=s(E')=-1} (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)(E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot E')[E']q^{E+E'} \\
&\quad + (\text{dimension four terms:}) \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_2 \cdot D_3)(L \cdot D_1)[X]q^L \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{s(E)=-1 \\ s(F)=0}} (E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot E)[X]q^{E+F}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_1 *_Q D_2) *_Q D_3 &= D_3 *_Q (D_1 *_Q D_2) \\
&= (\text{dimension zero terms:}) \sum_{s(E)=-1} (E \cdot D_3)(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)[p]q^E \\
&\quad + (\text{dimension two terms:}) \sum_{s(F)=0} ((D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[F] + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[D_3])q^F \\
&\quad + \sum_{s(E)=s(E')=-1} (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E' \cdot D_3)(E \cdot E')[E']q^{E+E'} \\
&\quad + (\text{dimension four terms:}) \sum_{s(L)=1} d_{[L]}(D_1 \cdot D_2)(L \cdot D_3)[X]q^L \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{s(E)=-1 \\ s(F)=0}} (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)(F \cdot E)[X]q^{E+F}
\end{aligned}$$

(This is obtained from the previous by permuting indices.)

Comparing terms, we see that the dimension zero terms are identical and that the dimension four terms follow from Equation (9.6) (obtained by considering the $p *_Q D_1 *_Q D_2$ product) intersected with D_3 .

Comparing terms in dimension two, we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s(F)=0} ((D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[F] + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[D_3])q^F \\
& + \sum_{s(E)=s(E')=-1} (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E' \cdot D_3)(E' \cdot E)[E']q^{E+E'} \\
& = \\
& \sum_{s(F)=0} ((D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F] + (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1])q^F \\
& + \sum_{s(E)=s(E')=-1} (E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)(E \cdot E')[E']q^{E+E'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.11}$$

Now if E and E' are disjoint, then there is no contribution to either side. If $E = E'$, then the coefficients of $q^{E+E'}$ are seen to be equal. If E meets E' , then $(E \cdot E') = 1$ and $E + E' \equiv F$ for some F . Hence the equality above follows from the equality of the coefficients of q^F for a particular class $[F]$ with $s(F) = 0$. Hence associativity is implied by the following lemma.

Lemma 9.12. *For all relevant classes $[F]$ with $s(F) = 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[F] + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[D_3] - (D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F] - (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1] \\
& = \sum_{E+E' \equiv F} ((E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3) - (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E' \cdot D_3))[E'].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our fixed curve F gives X a structure of ruled surface with F as general fiber. Each $E + E'$ summing to F is a reducible fiber with respect to that ruling (there are five such reducible fibers). Note that there is a basis, $[F], [G], [E_1], \dots, [E_5]$ of $\text{Pic}(X_6)$ such that G has self-intersection zero, the E_i 's have self-intersection -1 , $(F \cdot G) = 1$, and all other intersections are zero (this is equivalent to X_6 having $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as a minimal ruled model). We now write the right hand side of the above equation in terms of the basis. Note that $E'_i \equiv F - E_i$ and that the role of E' is played by both E' and E . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{E+E' \equiv F} ((E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3) - (E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E' \cdot D_3))[E'] \\
& = \sum_i ((F - E_i) \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3) - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)((F - E_i) \cdot D_3))[F - E_i] \\
& + \sum_i (E_i \cdot D_1)((F - E_i) \cdot D_2)((F - E_i) \cdot D_3) - ((F - E_i) \cdot D_1)((F - E_i) \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3))[E_i].
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of each $[E_i]$ in this expression contains a sum of twelve products, all of which cancel except for $(E_i \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3) - (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3)$. The coefficient of the $[F]$ term is seen to be $\sum_i ((F \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3) - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3))$. Thus we may re-write the equation of the lemma as

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[F] + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[D_3] - (D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F] - (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1] \\
& = \\
& [F] \sum_i ((F \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3) - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)) \\
& + \sum_i ((E_i \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3) - (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3))[E_i].
\end{aligned}$$

To show that this linear equivalence is true, it suffices to show equality when dotted with a basis of $\text{Pic}(X)$. It is easy to see that equality holds when the expression above is dotted with F or any E_i . Dotting with G , and recalling that $(F \cdot G) = 1$ and $(G \cdot E_i) = 0$ yields the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3) + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)(G \cdot D_3) - (D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1) - (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)(G \cdot D_1) \\
& = \\
& \sum_i ((F \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(E_i \cdot D_3) - (E_i \cdot D_1)(E_i \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the divisors D_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ are written in terms of the basis as

$$[D_j] \equiv (D_j \cdot G)[F] + (D_j \cdot F)[G] - \sum_i (D_j \cdot E_i)[E_i].$$

Substituting these expressions into $(D_1 \cdot D_2)$ and $(D_2 \cdot D_3)$ of (9.13) and collecting terms proves the result. $\square$

This completes our analysis of the associativity of the quantum product for X_6 , and therefore for all general strict Del Pezzo surfaces. We have proved the following.

Theorem 9.13. *The quantum product $*_Q$ is associative for $\mathbb{P}^2$, $\mathbb{F}_0$, and $X_1, \dots, X_6$.*

10. ASSOCIATIVITY IN GENERAL

In this section we offer an algebro-geometric approach to proving the associativity of the quantum product for a general rational surface. This approach avoids the reliance on perturbing to a non-integrable almost complex structure (see [4, 7]); we work with the existing complex/algebraic structure.

The associativity of the quantum product is implied by checking associativity for triple products of homogeneous generators for $H^*(X)$. In other words, we must check that if α , β , and γ are homogeneous classes in $H^*(X)$, then

$$\alpha *_Q (\beta *_Q \gamma) = (\alpha *_Q \beta) *_Q \gamma. \quad (10.1)$$

We need not check the formula when one of the constituents is the identity $[X]$, or when they are all equal.

Lemma 10.2. *The associativity of the quantum product is equivalent to the following identity:*

$$\sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta).$$

This identity must hold for all divisor classes $[D]$ and all homogeneous classes α , β , γ , and δ in $H^(X)$.*

Proof. Expanding the two sides of (10.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha *_Q (\beta *_Q \gamma) &= \alpha *_Q \left(\sum_{[C_1]} \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) q^{[C_1]} \right) \\ &= \sum_{[C_1]} \sum_{[C_2]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma)) q^{[C_1+C_2]} \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha *_Q \beta) *_Q \gamma &= \left(\sum_{[C_1]} \phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) q^{[C_1]} \right) *_Q \gamma \\ &= \sum_{[C_1]} \sum_{[C_2]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta), \gamma) q^{[C_1+C_2]}. \end{aligned}$$

For these to be equal, they must have equal coefficients for all terms $q^{[D]}$. Therefore associativity of the quantum product is equivalent to having

$$\sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma)) = \sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta), \gamma)$$

for all homogeneous classes α , β , and γ in $H^*(X)$ and all divisor classes $[D]$. The equality is equivalent to knowing that for all homogeneous $\delta \in H^*(X)$, the intersection products with δ are equal, i.e.,

$$\sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma)) \cdot \delta = \sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta), \gamma) \cdot \delta.$$

(Here a dot product is taken to be zero unless the codimensions of the classes are complementary.)

These intersection products can be computed on X^3 , and we then are requiring that

$$\sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} [A_{[C_2]}] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) \otimes \delta) = \sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} [A_{[C_2]}] \cdot (\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta).$$

By the symmetry of the $[A]$ -classes we may rewrite this as

$$\sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} [A_{[C_2]}] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \delta \otimes \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma)) = \sum_{([C_1], [C_2]): [C_1+C_2]=[D]} [A_{[C_2]}] \cdot (\gamma \otimes \delta \otimes \phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta)),$$

which we may then reformulate as

$$\sum_{([C_1],[C_2]):[C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{([C_1],[C_2]):[C_1+C_2]=[D]} \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta).$$

This is the desired identity. $\square$

Let $[C]$ be a relevant class on X , and let $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ denote the locus of irreducible nodal rational curves in the linear system $|C|$. Recall that $d_{[C]}$ is the degree of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{[C]}}$.

Suppose that $[C]$ decomposes as $[C] = [C_1] + [C_2]$ where $[C_1]$ and $[C_2]$ are relevant classes. We may form the following locus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{[C_1],[C_2]} = \{ & (C_1, C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z) \in \mathcal{R}_{[C_1]} \times \mathcal{R}_{[C_2]} \times X^5 \mid \\ & C_1 \text{ and } C_2 \text{ meet transversally at } z, \\ & x_1 \text{ and } y_1 \text{ are smooth points of } C_1, \text{ and} \\ & x_2 \text{ and } y_2 \text{ are smooth points of } C_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

There is a natural map

$$\mathcal{S}_{[C_1],[C_2]} \rightarrow X^6$$

sending $(C_1, C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z)$ to $(x_1, y_1, z, x_2, y_2, z)$. Call the image of the fundamental class $[A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^6]$. Related to this is the map

$$\mathcal{S}_{[C_1]} \times \mathcal{S}_{[C_2]} \rightarrow X^6$$

sending a pair $((C_1, x_1, y_1, z_1), (C_2, x_2, y_2, z_2))$ to $(x_1, y_1, z_1, x_2, y_2, z_2)$. The image of the fundamental class of this map is clearly $[A_{[C_1]}] \otimes [A_{[C_2]}]$. If we denote by $\pi_{36} : X^6 \rightarrow X^2$ the projection onto the third and sixth coordinates, we see that

$$[A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^6] = ([A_{[C_1]}] \otimes [A_{[C_2]}]) \cup \pi_{36}^*([\Delta])$$

where $\Delta \subset X^2$ is the diagonal.

Finally consider the natural map

$$\mathcal{S}_{[C_1],[C_2]} \rightarrow X^4$$

sending $(C_1, C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z)$ to (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) . Call the image of the fundamental class $[A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^4]$.

Lemma 10.3. *With the above notation,*

$$\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta) = [A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^4] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta.$$

Proof. Write $[\Delta] = \sum_i u_i \otimes v_i$ in $H^4(X^2)$, where the u_i and v_i are classes in $H^*(X)$. Denote by $\pi_{1245} : X^6 \rightarrow X^4$ the projection onto the first, second, fourth, and fifth factors. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta) &= [\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta)] \cdot [\Delta] \\ &= \sum_i [\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta)] \cdot [u_i \otimes v_i] \\ &= \sum_i (\phi_{[C_1]}(\alpha, \beta) \cdot u_i) (\phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta) \cdot v_i) \\ &= \sum_i ([A_{[C_1]}] \cdot \alpha \otimes \beta \otimes u_i) ([A_{[C_2]}] \cdot \gamma \otimes \delta \otimes v_i) \\ &= \sum_i ([A_{[C_1]}] \otimes [A_{[C_2]}]) \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes u_i \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta \otimes v_i) \\ &= ([A_{[C_1]}] \otimes [A_{[C_2]}]) \cup (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes X \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta \otimes X) \cup \pi_{36}^*([\Delta]) \\ &= ([A_{[C_1]}] \otimes [A_{[C_2]}]) \cup \pi_{1245}^*(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta) \cup \pi_{36}^*([\Delta]) \\ &= [A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^6] \cdot \pi_{1245}^*(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta) \\ &= [A_{[C_1],[C_2]}^4] \cdot (\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta) \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. $\square$

Next we introduce the space

$$\mathcal{S}_{[C]}^4 = \{ (C, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathcal{R}_{[C]} \times X^4 \mid x_i \text{ are smooth points of } C \}.$$

There is a natural projection to X^4 ; we denote the image of the fundamental class by $[A_{[C]}^4]$.

We note that we can consider the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{[C_1], [C_2]}$ as lying in the closure of the space $\mathcal{S}_{[C_1+C_2]}^4$, via the natural map

$$\psi : \mathcal{S}_{[C_1], [C_2]} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[C_1+C_2]}^4}$$

defined by sending $(C_1, C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z)$ to $(C_1 + C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)$. Actually, this map ψ may be finite-to-one, if $(C_1 \cdot C_2) \geq 2$. The image points represent the addition of an extra node to a curve which already has arithmetic genus zero; this then breaks the curve into two components. One expects that the boundary of $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}^4$ in its closure will have exactly the images of these loci $\psi(\mathcal{S}_{[C_1], [C_2]})$ with $[C_1] + [C_2] = [C]$ as components.

Now the space $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}^4$ has a cross-ratio function on it,

$$\text{CR} : \mathcal{S}_{[C]}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1,$$

defined by sending (C, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) to the cross-ratio $(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4)/(x_1 - x_4)(x_2 - x_3)$. (Here a coordinate is chosen on the normalization of C .)

Again one expects that this cross-ratio function will extend to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{[C_1+C_2]}^4}$, or at least to a model of the closure which is birational on the boundary divisors $\psi(\mathcal{S}_{[C_1], [C_2]})$ with $[C_1] + [C_2] = [C]$.

For distinct points, the cross-ratio takes values in $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For the general coalescence of two of the four points, the cross-ratio takes value 0 when $x_1 = x_3$, value 1 when $x_1 = x_2$, and value ∞ when $x_1 = x_4$.

Therefore $\text{CR}^{-1}(1)$ on the closure should be the space where the first two points x_1 and x_2 come together; when this happens, the curve will split, with x_1 and x_2 moving to points on one curve and x_3 and x_4 lying on the other. Therefore if we denote by $[A_{[C]}^4(\lambda)]$ the class of the image of $\text{CR}^{-1}(\lambda)$ in X^4 , we have that

$$[A_{[C]}^4(1)] = \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1+C_2]=[C]}} [A_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4]$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1+C_2]=[C]}} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) &= \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1+C_2]=[C]}} [A_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta \\ &= [A_{[C]}^4(1)] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now as λ varies, the classes $[A_{[C]}^4(\lambda)]$ are rationally equivalent; hence the intersection product is the same. Therefore

$$[A_{[C]}^4(1)] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta = [A_{[C]}^4(0)] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta.$$

This class $[A_{[C]}^4(0)]$ can be analyzed by studying the maps

$$\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{S}_{[C_1], [C_2]} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}_{[C_1+C_2]}^4}$$

defined by sending $(C_1, C_2, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, z)$ to $(C_1 + C_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$. This is just the map ψ above, followed by a permutation of the four points; but we see that if we denote the image of these classes by $[\tilde{A}_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4]$, then

$$[A_{[C]}^4(0)] = \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1+C_2]=[C]}} [\tilde{A}_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4]$$

since the cross-ratio being zero represents when the first and third points come together, and this should be modelled by having them split off to one of the component curves (in this case C_1).

This class $[\tilde{A}_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4]$ is related to the original version $[A_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4]$ by the relation that

$$[\tilde{A}_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4] \cdot \beta \otimes \alpha \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta = [A_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta$$

because of the permutation which relates the maps ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[A_{[C]}^4(0)] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta &= \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1 + C_2] = [C]}} [\tilde{A}_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4] \cdot \beta \otimes \gamma \otimes \alpha \otimes \delta \\
&= \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1 + C_2] = [C]}} [A_{[C_1], [C_2]}^4] \cdot \beta \otimes \alpha \otimes \gamma \otimes \delta \\
&= \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1 + C_2] = [C]}} \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \alpha) \cdot \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta)
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1 + C_2] = [C]}} \phi_{[C_2]}(\alpha, \delta) \cdot \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{([C_1], [C_2]) \\ [C_1 + C_2] = [C]}} \phi_{[C_1]}(\beta, \alpha) \cdot \phi_{[C_2]}(\gamma, \delta)$$

which is equivalent to the associative law for the quantum product by Lemma 10.2, using the symmetry of the ϕ -classes.

The reader will note that the approach given above to the proof of associativity relies on the existence of a model of the closure of the spaces $\mathcal{S}_{[C]}^4$, which has rather nice properties: the boundary is well-understood in terms of splittings of C as $C = C_1 + C_2$, and the cross-ratio function extends nicely to it. The existence of such a model we only conjecture, and have not attempted to construct it in this paper.

11. ENUMERATIVE CONSEQUENCES OF ASSOCIATIVITY

We will now extract several enumerative consequences from the associative law for the quantum product which have been noted by Kontsevich and Manin in [3].

Let us change notation somewhat and introduce the integer

$$k(C) = k([C]) = \begin{cases} (-K \cdot C) & \text{if the class } [C] \text{ is relevant on } X \\ 0 & \text{if } [C] \text{ is not relevant.} \end{cases}$$

We note that by the adjunction formula, if $[C]$ is a relevant class, then $k(C) = s(C) + 2$ (recall that $s(C) = (C \cdot C) - 2p_a(C)$). Moreover $k(-)$ is linear in relevant classes:

$$k(C_1 + C_2) = k(C_1) + k(C_2).$$

Also, the subscript notation for the degree $d_{[C]}$ of the rational curve locus $\mathcal{R}_{[C]}$ in the linear system $|C|$ is too cumbersome; we will switch notation and call this degree $N(C)$ (following the notation in [3]).

The equations which were seen in Section 9 to be equivalent to the associative law for the quantum product for strict Del Pezzos are actually equivalent to associativity for any rational surface X . These were (9.2), (9.6), and (9.11). With the above notation, they can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k(C)=4} N(C)[D]q^{[C]} &+ \sum_{k(L)=3} \sum_{k(E)=1} N(L)N(E)(E \cdot L)(E \cdot D)[E]q^{[E+L]} \\
&= \sum_{k(F)=2} \sum_{k(G)=2} N(F)N(G)(F \cdot D)(G \cdot F)[G]q^{[F+G]}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.1}$$

for any divisor class $[D]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k(L)=3} N(L)(D_1 \cdot D_2)[L]q^{[L]} \\
&+ \sum_{k(E)=1} \sum_{k(F)=2} N(F)N(E)(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(F \cdot E)[F]q^{[E+F]} \\
&= \\
&\sum_{k(L)=3} N(L)(L \cdot D_1)[D_2]q^{[L]} \\
&+ \sum_{k(F)=2} \sum_{k(E)=1} N(F)N(E)(F \cdot D_1)(E \cdot F)(E \cdot D_2)[E]q^{[E+F]}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.2}$$

for any divisor classes $[D_1]$ and $[D_2]$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k(F)=2} N(F)((D_1 \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[F] + (F \cdot D_1)(F \cdot D_2)[D_3])q^F \\
& + \sum_{k(E)=k(E')=1} N(E)N(E')(E \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E' \cdot D_3)(E' \cdot E)[E']q^{E+E'} \\
& = \\
& \sum_{k(F)=2} N(F)((D_2 \cdot D_3)(F \cdot D_1)[F] + (F \cdot D_2)(F \cdot D_3)[D_1])q^F \\
& + \sum_{k(E)=k(E')=1} N(E)N(E')(E' \cdot D_1)(E \cdot D_2)(E \cdot D_3)(E \cdot E')[E']q^{E+E'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11.3}$$

for any divisor classes $[D_1]$, $[D_2]$, and $[D_3]$. (Note that (9.11) had no $N(-)$ numbers; all were equal to one in the case of X_6 , but in general they must be included of course.)

Suppose that $X = X_n$, the general n -fold blowup of the plane. We take as a basis for $\text{Pic}(X)$ the classes $[H]$, $[E_1], \dots, [E_n]$, where $[H]$ is the class of the pullback of a line from $\mathbb{P}^2$, and E_i is the exceptional curve over the i -th point p_i which is blown up. In this case every divisor class can be written as

$$[D] = d[H] - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i[E_i]$$

which we will abbreviate to $[D] = (d; m_1, \dots, m_n)$. Note then that the anticanonical class $[-K] = (3; 1^n)$ where we use the exponential notation for repeated m_i 's, as is rather standard. Hence if $[D] = (d; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ then $(-K \cdot D) = 3d - \sum_i m_i$.

With this notation we see that quantum cohomology is a completely numerically based theory. A class $[D] = (d; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is relevant if and only if $d^2 + 3d \geq \sum_i m_i^2 + \sum_i m_i$ and $k(D) \leq 4$. (The first condition is that the expected dimension of $|D|$ is non-negative, so that there will be curves in $|D|$; the second is the relevance condition, that the dimension of the locus of rational curves in $|D|$ is not more than 3.)

Suppose that we take the associativity condition (11.1), set $D = H$, and dot with H : we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k(C)=4} N(C)q^{[C]} &= \sum_{k(F)=2} \sum_{k(G)=2} N(F)N(G)(F \cdot H)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot H)q^{[F+G]} \\
&- \sum_{k(L)=3} \sum_{k(E)=1} N(L)N(E)(E \cdot L)(E \cdot H)^2 q^{[E+L]}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.4}$$

We want to use this to develop a recursive formula for the degrees $N(C)$ if possible.

The $q^{[C]}$ terms of the (11.4) are

$$\begin{aligned}
N(C) &= \sum_{\substack{(F,G) \\ k(F)=k(G)=2 \\ F+G \equiv C}} N(F)N(G)(F \cdot H)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot H) \\
&- \sum_{\substack{(E,L) \\ k(E)=1, k(L)=3 \\ E+L \equiv C}} N(L)N(E)(E \cdot L)(E \cdot H)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{11.5}$$

To relate this recursive formula to those of Kontsevich and Manin (Claims 5.2.1 and 5.2.3b of [3]), we write this as

$$N(C) = \sum_{\substack{(C_1, C_2) \\ C_1 + C_2 \equiv C}} N(C_1)N(C_2)(C_1 \cdot C_2)(H \cdot C_1)((H \cdot C_2)\delta_{k(C_1)-2} - (H \cdot C_1)\delta_{k(C_1)-1}) \tag{11.6}$$

where $\delta_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$. This expression is equivalent to Claim 5.2.3b of [3] in the case for which

$k(C) = 4$, assuming that their convention for $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ is that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} = \delta_n$. Note that 11.6 is not valid when $k(C) \neq 4$, as may be seen when $C \equiv -K_X$ on $X = X_6$. It is unclear what 5.2.3b of [3] means in this case,

since $(-K \cdot C) = 3$ and so 5.2.3b involves terms of the form $\binom{-1}{n}$ where $n \leq 0$. Note also that the same C is indecomposable in the semi-group of numerically effective curves and yet has $N(C) = 12$, contrary to the expectation expressed in 5.2.3b of [3].

Our next goal is to compute $N(d)$, the degree of the locus of rational curves of degree d in the plane. This is not a relevant class on the plane, unless $d = 1$. Since forcing a curve to pass through a generically chosen point is a linear condition on the linear system, we have

$$N(d; m_1, \dots, m_n, 1) = N(d; m_1, \dots, m_n).$$

Hence by induction we have that

$$N(d) = N(d; 1^{3d-4})$$

in particular. Now on the surface X_{3d-4} , the class $C = (d; 1^{3d-4})$ is a relevant class; in fact $k(d; 1^{3d-4}) = 4$ and so 11.5 may be used to compute $N(d)$. We now need to understand those $k(E) = 1$ and $k(L) = 3$ classes which sum to C , and those $k(F) = k(G) = 2$ classes which sum to C .

First consider the class E_i itself, which has $k(E) = 1$. However $(E_i \cdot H) = 0$, so these $k(E) = 1$ classes do not contribute to the recursive formula of (11.5).

Hence we may assume E is a relevant class with all m_i 's non-negative. In this case since $C = (d; 1^{3d-4})$, all m_i 's for E (and for the complementary $k = 3$ class L) must be 0 or 1. Therefore $E = (e; 1^{3e-1})$ for some e with $1 \leq e \leq d-1$, where this notation means that $3e-1$ of the m_i 's are 1, and all the others are zero. (There are $\binom{3d-4}{3e-1}$ such classes.) The complementary class L is of the form $L = (d-e; 1^{3d-3e-3})$ where the 1's occur in the complementary positions. Note that with this notation $(E \cdot L) = e(d-e)$ and $(E \cdot H) = e$, with $N(E) = N(e)$ and $N(L) = N(d-e)$; so the second sum above reduces to

$$\sum_{E+L \equiv C} N(L)N(E)(E \cdot L)(E \cdot H)^2 = \sum_{e=1}^{d-1} \binom{3d-4}{3e-1} N(e)N(d-e)(d-e)e^3.$$

Now suppose that F is a $k = 2$ class; again all its multiplicity numbers m_i must be zero or one, and so F must have the form $F = (e; 1^{3e-2})$ for some e with $1 \leq e \leq d-1$; there are $\binom{3d-4}{3e-2}$ such classes. The complementary class G is $G = (d-e; 1^{3d-3e-2})$, where again the 1's occur in the complementary positions. Note that with this notation $(F \cdot G) = e(d-e)$, $(F \cdot H) = e$, and $(G \cdot H) = d-e$; also $N(F) = N(e)$ and $N(G) = N(d-e)$. Hence the first sum above reduces to

$$\sum_{F+G \equiv C} N(F)N(G)(F \cdot H)(G \cdot F)(G \cdot H) = \sum_{e=1}^{d-1} \binom{3d-4}{3e-2} N(e)N(d-e)e^2(d-e)^2.$$

Collecting terms gives the following recursion relation for the degrees $N(d)$:

$$N(d) = \sum_{e=1}^{d-1} e^2(d-e) \left[(d-e) \binom{3d-4}{3e-2} - e \binom{3d-4}{3e-1} \right] N(e)N(d-e). \quad (11.7)$$

This is exactly the enumerative prediction made by Kontsevich and Manin (Claim 5.2.1 of [3]).

We note here that from our point of view this prediction follows from the associativity of the quantum product for arbitrarily large blowups of the plane; it is not enough to know it just for the plane.

To further illustrate the geometric and enumerative significance of associativity of quantum cohomology, we return to X_6 .

Proposition 11.8. *Associativity of quantum cohomology on strict Del Pezzo surfaces is equivalent to the fact that there are 27 exceptional curves on X_6 , each of which meets precisely 10 others.*

Proof. In Section 9, associativity for X_6 (and so all strict Del Pezzos) was shown using the fact that the number and mutual disposition of exceptional curves are as above. To complete our proof, it suffices to show that if $\hat{E}$ is an exceptional curve on X_6 , m is the number of other exceptional curves meeting $\hat{E}$ and e is the total number of exceptional curves, then $m = 10$ and $e = 27$. Consider the associativity relation 11.2

with $L \equiv D_1 \equiv D_2 \equiv -K$. Allowing ourselves the knowledge that $N(F) = N(E) = 1$ for all cases for which $F + E \equiv -K$ and collecting terms, 11.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\substack{(E,F) \\ k(F)=2, k(E)=1 \\ E+F \equiv -K}} (E \cdot -K)((F \cdot -K)[E] - (E \cdot -K)[F]) \\ &= \sum_{k(E)=1} 2[E] - [-K - E] \\ &= \sum_{k(E)=1} (3[E] + [K]) \end{aligned}$$

Intersecting with our fixed exceptional curve $\hat{E}$, we have $0 = \sum_E (3(E \cdot \hat{E}) - 1)$ and so $0 = -4 + 2m - (e - (m + 1))$ and thus $e = 3m - 3$.

On the other hand, applying the associativity relation 11.1 with $C \equiv -K + \hat{E}$, we get for all divisors D

$$12[D] = \sum_{F+G=C} 1 \cdot 1 \cdot (F \cdot D) \cdot 2[G] - \sum_{\substack{L+E \equiv -K+\hat{E} \\ p_a(L)=0}} 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot (E \cdot D)[E] - 12(\hat{E} \cdot D)[\hat{E}]$$

which is equivalent, after noting that $F + G \equiv C$ if and only if $F \equiv \hat{E} + E_F$ for some exceptional curve E_F , to

$$6([D] + (\hat{E} \cdot D)[\hat{E}]) = \sum_{\substack{\hat{E}+E_F \\ \hat{E}+E_G}} ((\hat{E} + E_F) \cdot D)[\hat{E} + E_G] - \sum_{(E \cdot \hat{E})=0} (E \cdot D)[E].$$

Letting $D \equiv -K$ and intersecting with $-K$ yields $24 = 4m - (e - (m + 1))$ and so $e = 5m - 23$, which combined with our previous equation yields $e = 27$ and $m = 10$. $\square$

Note finally that $N(d; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ is invariant under permutations and Cremona transformations. The former is obvious and the latter follows from the fact that k and the decomposition of a curve into sums of curves are invariant under Cremona transformations. Also of course the arithmetic genus of a class is invariant under symmetries and Cremona transformations. It is tempting to conjecture that the number $N(d; m_1, \dots, m_n)$ depends only on the genus. However a recent computation of A. Grassi [2] shows that this is not the case in general for classes with arithmetic genus at least 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville: *Compact Complex Surfaces*. Cambridge University Press 1983.
- [2] A. Grassi: "Some Remarks on the Quantum Cohomology of Surfaces", in preparation.
- [3] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin: "Gromov-Witten Classes, Quantum Cohomology, and Enumerative Geometry", preprint 1994.
- [4] D. McDuff and D. Salamon: *J-Holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*, Univ. Lect. Series Vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence 1994.
- [5] M. Reid: *Undergraduate Algebraic Geometry*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts Number 12, Cambridge University Press 1988.
- [6] Y. Ruan: "Topological Sigma model and Donaldson type invariants in Gromov Theory", preprint 1993.
- [7] Y. Ruan and G. Tian: "A Mathematical Theory of Quantum Cohomology", Math. Res. Lett. Vol. 1 (1994) 269-278.
- [8] B. Siebert and G. Tian: "On Quantum Cohomology Rings of Fano Manifolds and a Formula of Vafa and Intriligator", preprint 1994.
- [9] E. Witten: "On the Structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity", Nuclear Physics B, Vol. 340 (1990), 281-332.
- [10] E. Witten: "Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space", Surveys in Diff. Geom., Vol. 1 (1991), 243-310.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078
E-mail address: crauder@math.okstate.edu

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FT. COLLINS, CO 80523
E-mail address: miranda@riemann.math.colostate.edu